

#### INTERNATION UNIVERSITY LIAISON INDONESIA (IULI)

BACHELOR'S THESIS

### AIRCRAFT FLIGHT PATH RECONSTRUCTION BASED ON ADS-B DATA USING KALMAN FILTER

By

Yazfan Tabah Tahta Bagaskara 11201501006 Presented to the Faculty of Engineering In Partial Fulfilment Of the Requirements for the Degree of

SARJANA TEKNIK

In AVIATION ENGINEERING

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

BSD City 15345 Indonesia September 2020

## APPROVAL PAGE

# AIRCRAFT FLIGHT PATH RECONSTRUCTION BASED ON ADS-B DATA USING KALMAN FILTER

### YAZFAN TABAH TAHTA BAGASKARA 11201501006 Presented to the Faculty of Engineering In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

#### SARJANA TEKNIK In AVIATION ENGINEERING

#### FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

Triwanto Simanjuntak, PhD Thesis Advisor

Date

Dr. Ir. Prianggada Indra Tanaya, M.M.E Dean of Faculty of Engineering Date

D

## STATEMENT BY THE AUTHOR

I hereby declare that this submission is my own work and to the best of my knowledge, it contains no material previously published or written by another person, nor material which to a substantial extent has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma at any educational institution, except where due acknowledgement is made in the thesis.

Yazfan Tabah Tahta Bagaskara Student

Date

#### ABSTRACT

Aircraft Flight Path Reconstruction Based on ADS-B Data Using Kalman Filter

by

Yazfan Tabah Tahta Bagaskara Triwanto Simanjuntak, PhD, Advisor

In this thesis, the Kalman filter algorithm was used to perform flight path reconstruction. The flight path reconstruction was based on the ADS-B data set that has been obtained from opensky-network.org. Other methods, such as interpolation calculation, also can be used to perform the flight path reconstruction. However, in using interpolation methods, the ADS-B data inaccuracy is not taken into account. Since the position information in the ADS-B data has an error that are indicated by NIC (Navigation Integrity Code). So, the result of the flight path reconstruction based on interpolation calculations are theoretically inaccurate. There were 3 interpolation methods that were explored in this research; they were linear interpolation, spline interpolation, and PCHIP interpolation. These methods were used as preliminary tools to construct the flight path and to see how they might diverge from the Kalman Filter's results. To minimize the ADS-B data inaccuracy, this research used the Kalman filter method; in this research, the Kalman filter calculation was based only on the kinematic equation. The Kalman filter results were compared with the original data in order to observe how the inaccuracy could deteriorate the aircraft trajectory.

Keyword: ADS-B, Interpolation, Kalman Filter, Flight Path, Navigation Integrity Code (NIC)

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank you God Almighty, for the strength, knowledge, ability, and opportunity to undertake and complete this research study. Without his presence, this wonderful achievement would not be possible.

Throughout the writing process of this thesis report, I have received a great amount of supports and assistance. I would like to thank my thesis supervisor and advisor, Triwanto Simanjuntak, Ph.D.

I would also like to thank my parents for the wise counsel and support.

Special thanks to I Gede Suryadharma Susila, Ebro Haninditho, and all my family members of aviation engineering batch 2015 for all the help and support in the making of this thesis.

And finally, for everyone that I have not mentioned yet.

Thank you for all the encouragement.

# Contents

| A                   | pprov | val Page                                   | i        |
|---------------------|-------|--|----------|
| $\mathbf{St}$       | atem  | nent by The Author                         | ii       |
| Al                  | bstra | ict  | iii      |
| A                   | cknov | wledgements                                | iv       |
| Co                  | onter | nts  | v        |
| Li                  | st of | Figures                                    | vii      |
| Li                  | st of | Tables                                     | ix       |
| 1                   | Intr  | roduction                                  | 1        |
|                     | 1.1   | Background                                 | 1        |
|                     | 1.2   | Problem Statement                          | 2        |
|                     | 1.3   | Research Purpose                           | 3        |
|                     | 1.4   | Research Scope                             | 3        |
|                     | 1.5   | Research Approach                          | 4        |
| 2 Literature Review |       | erature Review                             | <b>5</b> |
|                     | 2.1   | Flight Tracking                            | 6        |
|                     | 2.2   | Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast | 7        |
|                     |       | 2.2.1 Transmission                         | 10       |
|                     |       | 2.2.2 ADS-B Implementation                 | 10       |
|                     |       | 2.2.3 ADS-B Benefit                        | 12       |
|                     | 2.3   | Flight Path Reconstruction                 | 13       |

|                          | 2.4 Interpolation  |                                       |           |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|--|
|                          | 2.5 Kalman Filter  |                                       |           |  |
|                          |                    | 2.5.1 Measurement                     | 15        |  |
|                          |                    | 2.5.2 Prediction                      | 16        |  |
|                          |                    | 2.5.3 Estimation                      | 18        |  |
| 3                        | Res                | earch Methodology 2                   | 21        |  |
|                          | 3.1                | Data Acquisition                      | 21        |  |
|                          | 3.2                | Data analysis                         | 24        |  |
|                          |                    | 3.2.1 Interpolation                   | 24        |  |
|                          |                    | 3.2.2 Kalman Filter                   | 27        |  |
| 4 Results and Discussion |                    |                                       | 36        |  |
|                          | 4.1                | Interpolation Result                  | 36        |  |
|                          | 4.2                | Kalman Filter Result                  | 40        |  |
|                          | 4.3                | Result Comparison                     | 46        |  |
| 5                        | Sun                | nmary, Conclusion, and Recommendation | <b>18</b> |  |
|                          | 5.1                | Summary                               | 48        |  |
|                          | 5.2                | Conclusion                            | 48        |  |
|                          | 5.3                | Recommendation                        | 49        |  |
| R                        | efere              | nces 5                                | 50        |  |
| A                        | ppen               | dices                                 | 53        |  |
| Т                        | Turnitin Report 78 |                                       |           |  |
| Curriculum Vitae 138     |                    |                                       |           |  |

# List of Figures

| 2.1  | Schematic of ADS-B system (Calderwood, 2016)                          | 7  |
|--|---|----|
| 2.2  | NIC Horizontal and Vertical Accuracy                                  | 9  |
| 2.3  | ADS-B ground station location in Indonesia                            | 12 |
| 2.4  | Spline Interpolation Example (KREYSZIG, 2010)                         | 14 |
| 2.5  | Kalman Filter Algorithm(Becker (www.kalmanfilter.net), 2018a)         | 15 |
| 2.6  | Kalman filter calculation loop (Becker (www.kalmanfilter.net), 2018b) | 20 |
| 3.1  | Example of 3 Differents Interpolation Methods(Aircraft displace-      |    |
|  | ment overtime)  | 24 |
| 3.2  | Algorithm of Trajectory Interpolation                                 | 25 |
| 3.3  | Algorithm of Kalman Filter Calculation                                | 28 |
| 3.4 Geographic coordinate system (Preprocessing: Calculate the |   |    |
|  | tance Between Longitude and Latitude Points with a Function / by      |    |
|  | The Data Detective / Towards Data Science, 2019)                      | 30 |
| 3.5  | ECEF coordinate system (ECEF(Earth-Centered Earth-Fixed Frame)        |    |
|  | <i>Coordinate</i> , 2016)   | 30 |
| 4.1  | Linear Interpolation  | 36 |
| 4.2  | Spline Interpolation  | 37 |
| 4.3  | PCHIP Interpolation   | 37 |
| 4.4  | Combination of All Interpolation                                      | 38 |
| 4.5  | MSE of Latitude and Longitude Over Time                               | 40 |
| 4.6  | MSE of Distance Over Time   | 40 |
| 4.7  | Latitude and Longitude Trajectory                                     | 41 |
| 4.8  | Altitude Trajectory   | 42 |
| 4.9  | Aircraft Trajectory   | 43 |
| 4.10   | 10 meter Inaccuracy   | 44 |

| 4.11 | 45 meter Inaccuracy            | 14 |
|------|--------------------------------|----|
| 4.12 | 30000 meter Inaccuracy         | 15 |
| 4.13 | Normal Inaccuracy              | 15 |
| 4.14 | Fixed 5 Second Delta Time    4 | 16 |

## List of Tables

| 2.1  | NIC Value Horizontal Accuracy Radius           | 9  |
|------|--|----|
| 2.2  | NIC Value Veritcal Accuracy Radius             | 9  |
| 3.1  | NIC Value Probability (Tesi & Pleninger, 2017) | 34 |
| 4.1  | MSE of Latitude and Longitude                  | 39 |
| 4.2  | MSE of Distance                                | 39 |
| 4.3  | MSE of ECEF Coordinate                         | 42 |
| 4.4  | MSE of Earth Coordinate                        | 42 |
| 4.5  | MSE of Earth Coordinate in $m^2$               | 43 |
| 4.6  | MSE Comparison Between Difference Noise        | 46 |
| 4.7  | Other Aircrafts MSE                            | 47 |
| 4.8  | MSE of Latitude Result Comparison              | 47 |
| 4.9  | MSE of Longitude Result Comparison             | 47 |
| 4.10 | Distance Difference Comparison in $m^2$        | 47 |

## List of Abbreviations

| GNSS           | Global Navigation Satellite System   |
|----------------|--|
| ADSB           | $\mathbf{A} utomatic \ \mathbf{D} ependent \ \mathbf{S} urveillance \ \mathbf{B} roadcast$ |
| $\mathbf{FPR}$ | Flight Path Reconstruction   |
| ATC            | Air Traffic Controller   |
| FIR            | ${\bf Flight \ Information \ Region}$  |
| FISB           | ${\bf Flight \ Information \ Service \ Broadcast}$   |
| TISB           | ${\bf Traffic \ Information \ Service \ Broadcast}$  |
| UAT            | Universa Access Transceiver  |
| NIC            | $\mathbf{N}$ avigation $\mathbf{I}$ ntegrity $\mathbf{C}$ ode                              |
| NACp           | $\mathbf{N}$ avigation $\mathbf{A}$ curacy $\mathbf{C}$ ode $\mathbf{P}$ osition           |
| NUC            | $\mathbf{N}$ avigation $\mathbf{U}$ Uncertainty $\mathbf{C}$ ode                           |
| $\mathbf{SIL}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ urveillance $\mathbf{I}$ n- tegrity $\mathbf{L}$ evel                         |
| MSE            | $\mathbf{M}ean \mathbf{S}$ quare $\mathbf{E}rror$  |
|                |  |

Dedicated to my parents

## CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Background

By definition, flight path is a set of corridors that connects one location to another location. In general, we can define the flight path as a route that the aircraft took to get from one point to another point. The corridors that the aircraft used is made by a set of geographical coordinates. These coordinates are usually based on satellite navigational systems or other ground-based radio transmitters navigational system. To monitor the aircraft during flight, we can track it by using a method called flight tracking. Flight tracking is a method of aircraft monitoring used by surveillance users such as an ATC (Air Traffic Controller) to monitor the aircraft during flight by using a surveillance system. The tracking of a flight can be done in real-time or reconstruct by using historical data sets that contain the aircraft condition(i.e., latitude and longitude coordinates). There are several systems that can be used in flight tracking, such as using a radar-based monitoring technique, which uses a principle of a radar radio wave to determine the distance between the aircraft and the radar source to obtain the position of the aircraft. Then there is a satellite-based tracking system that uses GNSS (Global Navigation Satellite System ) to determine the aircraft's exact coordinate, which is called ADS-B. The ADS-B (Automatic Dependent Surveillance-Broadcast) satellite-based tracking system is integrated into surveillance technology that monitors aircraft conditions and trajectories. The ADS-B system can track the aircraft in real-time and record all the information that the system received. The recorded data that the ADS-B receives can be used as source data in FPR (Flight Path Reconstruction). FPR results can be used to test the reliability of the data and to check post-flight data. FPR utilizes the aircraft sensors data to determine the position, velocity, and altitude of an aircraft to perform those tasks. In reality, the sensor only consists of

the estimation of the said information. A kinematic model of the aircraft is needed to obtain more accurate information on the aircraft state. The idea was to combine the kinematic model with the complete flight data to recreate the state at an exact timestamp. The reconstruct data then can be used to analyze system identification, dynamic analysis, or control algorithm assessment(Göttlicher & Holzapfel, 2016). By knowing the accurate state of the aircraft at a certain point in time, the data can be used as the tools to help the investigation of an aircraft accident or incident.

### 1.2 Problem Statement

All the systems used for aircraft tracking have a time when the system needs to update the aircraft information. If we view these exact timestamps, we will see information unavailability. This period is called intermission/interruption periods. For example, in a radar-based tracking system, the intermission period can be caused by the latency between the time radio wave is sent to detect the aircraft and when the radio wave is received after it bounces back after detecting an aircraft. For the ADS-B system, the intermission periods were caused by the time the system needs to update the aircraft information, which occurs because the ADS-B did not continuously update the aircraft information. However, there is a gap between each data transmission. In optimal condition, this period lasts for half a second (0.5s). In conclusion, during the intermission periods, every data set collected by a different system has a point where there is data have unavailability. The ADS-B also has inaccuracy when the system is determining the aircraft position. The ADS-B commonly have a quality indicator value that was informing the level of the data accuracy. The quality indicator value can be indicated by several types, which were described in a regulation standard DO-260/A/B. In DO-260/A/B, the quality indicator can be shown as NUC (Navigation Uncertainty Code) or NACp (Navigation Accuracy Code for Position) or NIC (Navigation Integrity Code) or SIL (Surveillance Integrity Level). The value of one of these codes will be describing the accuracy of the ADS-B data. This research used the NIC value, the quality indicator code indicating the accuracy radius of the ADS-B data. The accuracy radius is used for determining the vertical and horizontal position accuracy of the aircraft.

## 1.3 Research Purpose

To overcome the unavailability during the intermission period and inaccuracy of the aircraft position, a flight path estimation need to be generated. This problem can be resolve by using flight path reconstruction methods or FPR. Flight path reconstruction is a method that uses a historical data set of an aircraft condition to reconstruct the past aircraft trajectory. Flightpath reconstruction can be done by using a mathematical computation.

The result of the flight path reconstruction was expected:

- To be used as a tool in aircraft incident/accident.
- To be used as a post-flight analysis data based on the flight trajectory
- To able to producing an estimated aircraft trajectory that had minimal noise or inaccuracy

## 1.4 Research Scope

This research only used a historical data set that has been gathered by an ADS-B system. This historical data set was obtained from opensky-network.org. The downloaded data set is in the form of a CSV (Comma-Separated Values) file. This research used the data set from 03 March 2020. Due to the format of the openskynetwork.org, the data was separated hourly; because of that and hardware limitation, this research used only the first 10 hours of 03 March 2020 data set, which have a total around 3.097 Gb of data. The reason that the opensky-network.org data set is chosen because the data set offers almost all data parameters required in the calculation: timestamp, position (Latitude, Longitude, and altitude), velocity, vertical rate, and magnetic heading. However, the data set that has been obtained from opensky-network.org did not have a quality indicator value. So, to overcome this, the quality indicator is generated randomly using Python programming language. This research utilized python programming language as a tool for performing the FPR. The FPR that was conducted in this research was based on two methods, which are interpolation calculation and Kalman filter calculation.

## 1.5 Research Approach

The data analysis for this research is conducted by comparing the result from Kalman filter calculation and interpolation calculation with actual ADS-B data to see if there is a deviation between the original aircraft trajectory and aircraft trajectory based on interpolation and Kalman filter calculation. The python programming language is used as a tool for completing the data analysis. The data comparison is based on the MSE(Mean Square Error) calculation. The MSE calculation was intended to show the data difference between the estimated data (Result of interpolation and Kalman filter calculation) and actual data.

The steps to perform this research are:

- 1. Data set acquisition
- 2. Performing data filtration
- 3. Performing data adjustment so that the data parameters are compatible with one another
- 4. Performing the interpolation and Kalman filter calculation
- 5. Analyzing the result of the Kalman filter calculation and interpolation calculation

## CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

In general, the ADS-B system can be divided into two categories. They are ADS-B Out and ADS-B In. The ADS-B out is acted as the system's broadcaster, and the ADS-B In is acted as the receiver. As the receiver, the ADS-B In is only receiving the data parameters that the ADS-B out sends, including aircraft velocity, aircraft identification, surface position, airborne position, TCAS, emergency status, and operational status. In the ADS-B system, there is a periodical interval between each data cycle (broadcast and receive). This means that during the interval, an estimated aircraft position between interruption can be made by considering this situation as a regression problem with using the known aircraft condition when before and after the intermission period.

In order to generate those flight paths, a method of flight path generation needs to be chosen. In this research, there are two methods that were used, which were Kalman filter and interpolation. Kalman filter is an algorithm that generates an estimate of previously unknown variables that used a combination of estimation generated by a calculation and observation of measurement over a period of time (Introduction to Kalman Filter and Its Applications | IntechOpen, 2018). In this research, the Kalman filter calculation was done by using Python programming language. The Kalman filter calculation is based on the kinematic calculation of the aircraft trajectory. The other method used in this research is interpolation; this is a method of generating an estimation of a previously unknown variable using a known data point from a historical data set (Types of Interpolation - Advantages and Disadvantages, 2019). In this research, these can be achieved by using two know data points to generate the aircraft's position during the interruption periods. Both the Kalman filter and the interpolation method had its advantages and disadvantages. The first one is that the interpolation methods have more straightforward calculations because this method is generally only a form of mathematical

approximation calculation. On the other hand, the Kalman filter methods calculation is more complex in comparison with the interpolation calculation, because in this calculation, many factors that did not consider in the interpolation are considered here. Consequently, the interpolation result did not minimize the noise of the system contrarily; one of the main ideas of the Kalman filter calculation is to minimize the noise of the system.

## 2.1 Flight Tracking

Flight tracking is a method of aircraft monitoring that involves a system used to observe aircraft during flight or on the ground. Flight tracking is essential for ATC (Air Traffic Controller) to managing airspace. The tracking system will help the ATC control aircraft separation, control aircraft flight path, and guide aircraft movement or other navigational needs of the aircraft. The ATC usually used a radar system as a tool to track and controlling aircraft movement. Radar or radio detection and ranging that used in UHF (Ultra High Frequency) or microwave part of the RF (Radio Frequency) spectrum to detect an object position (What is radar (radio detection and ranging)? - Definition from WhatIs.com, 2005). In general, Radar work by sending an electromagnetic signal through its transmitter to detect an object. When the signal hits an object, the signal will be bounced back. Then the reflected signal will be detected by the radar receiver, which is then processed to determine the object's geographical position. The process used the time taken by the signal to travel from the Radar source to the object and return back to determining the distance between the Radar and the object. For determining the target's location is measured in angle, from the direction of the maximum amplitude echo signal to the antenna (RADAR - Introduction of RADAR Systems, Types and Applications, 2013). Another system that can be used to tracking aircraft is ADS-B or Automatic Dependent Surveillance-Broadcast. The ADS-B is a satellite-based monitoring system that uses GNSS (Global Navigation Satellite System) to determining aircraft position. In recent years, ADS-B has been chosen to be the replacement for Radar as the tracking or monitoring system for aircraft. The reason mainly because ADS-B is considered to be more reliable and accurate than Radar.

## 2.2 Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast

Automatic Dependent Surveillance-Broadcast or ADS-B is a type of monitoring/navigation system used in air transportation. The system's primary purpose is to track aircraft movement that periodically updated every 0.5 seconds in optimal condition, or in other words, the most optimal interruption period is 0.5 seconds (*Implementasi Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast (ADS-B) di Indonesia* / *Nurhayati / WARTA ARDHIA*, 2014). The system can determine the aircraft's location by using GNSS (Global Navigation Satellite System) such as GPS (Global Positioning System). The system also sends other information that correlates with the aircraft's state, such as aircraft identification number (hex identification number), altitude, heading, and speed. This aircraft information will be transmitted to other aircraft that are also equipped with the ADS-B system and satellite or ground receiver, in which the data will be relayed to ATC (Air Traffic Control).



FIGURE 2.1: Schematic of ADS-B system (Calderwood, 2016)

Ideally, the ADS-B is an accurate system that can track an aircraft's trajectory and store that information every 0.5 seconds. However, in real-world implementation, the system cannot perform this perfectly. The system has noise or inaccuracy that can affect the accuracy of the system. Then the system may have a "signal

disturbance" that may affect the data transmission, which can prolong the interruption period. The noise/inaccuracy and prolong interruption time of the ADS-B system may vary between each time step. The magnitude of the noise was identified in the ADS-B message in the form of a quality indicator code. The quality indicator can be defined into several forms of codes; it depends on which version of the DO-260 standard the ADS-B system used. The variation of the quality indicator are:

NUC = Navigation Uncertainty
 NIC = Navigation Integrity Code
 SIL = Surveillance Integrity Level

Note: In this research, the only quality indicator variant that used is the NIC version. The reason for this is because it is offering much higher error detection, which is up to 30 km, and according to the FAA AC 20-165 mentioned that, NIC is used by surveillance users such as ATC to determine if the data has an acceptable level of integrity. The minimal level requirement of the integrity level is NIC 8. The NIC is also chosen.

There are several factors that can affect the NIC level ("PAPRUsersGuide", 2020):

- Loss of GNSS services
- Antenna masking that caused by aircraft maneuver
- aircraft flying at the edge of ADS-B coverage
- Component/software issue
- Poor ADS-B signal

The NIC is divided into 12 codes, ranging from code 0 to code 11. Each code number is representing a different range of horizontal position accuracy. The following table 2.1 is the description of accuracy for code("PAPRUsersGuide", 2020).

For the system's vertical accuracy, the accuracy magnitude only available for NIC values greater than 8; in other words, the accuracy magnitude available in NIC levels 9 to 11. The magnitude of the vertical NIC can be seen on table 2.2.

| NIC   | Accuracy              |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Value | Value                 |
| 0     | Unknown               |
| 1     | $<\!37.04~{ m Km}$    |
| 2     | <14.81 Km             |
| 3     | ${<}7.40~{\rm Km}$    |
| 4     | $<3.70~\mathrm{Km}$   |
| 5     | $<\!\!1852 {\rm \ m}$ |
| 6     | ${<}926~{\rm m}$      |
| 7     | $<\!370.4 {\rm ~m}$   |
| 8     | $<\!185.2 {\rm ~m}$   |
| 9     | ${<}75~{\rm m}$       |
| 10    | $<\!25 \mathrm{~m}$   |
| 11    | ${<}7.5~{\rm m}$      |

 TABLE 2.1: NIC Value Horizontal Accuracy Radius

| NIC<br>Value | Accuracy<br>Value |
|--------------|-------------------|
| 9            | <112 m            |
| 10           | $<\!37.5 { m m}$  |
| 11           | <11 m             |

 TABLE 2.2: NIC Value Veritcal Accuracy Radius



FIGURE 2.2: NIC Horizontal and Vertical Accuracy

### 2.2.1 Transmission

ADS-B system used mode-s transponder to transmitting/updating aircraft movement that usually operates in 1090 MHz (Ins and Outs, 2020). In the US, the FAA (Federal Aviation Administration) want to establish for aircraft that operate below 18,000 feet (5,500 m) transmit the signal 987 MHz. The received ADS-B data can be used alongside the TIS-B (Traffic Information Services Broadcast) and FIS-B (Flight Information Services Broadcast) system. TIS-B is a component of the ADS-B system that provides traffic information for aircraft with ADS-B receiver. TIS-B also has the capability to tracking other aircraft that are not equipped with ADS-B transponder but tracked by other Radar. FIS-B, on the other hand, is a system that provides current airspace information such as graphical weather service and locations of restricted airspace. However, FIS-B information only can be received by aircraft that use 978 MHz UAT (Universal Access Transceiver) (*How* Does FIS-B (Flight Information System Broadcast) Work?, 2019). This process of transmitting and receiving ADS-B information is essentially based on two separate ADS-B systems: ADS-B In and ADS-B Out. ADS-B Out is the part of the ADS-B system that broadcasts aircraft information periodically, such as the aircraft speed, altitude, and location through an onboard transmitter. For the ADS-B In, the system received the other information that will be used for TIS-B and FIS-B system.

### 2.2.2 ADS-B Implementation

In the time of writing, FAA and EASA regulatory bodies have a mandate that required aircraft to have an ADS-B system installed. Both regulatory bodies want to promote the use of the ADS-B system in other international airspaces, including Asia/Pacific, so they mandate this new regulation. This regulation aimed to obtain the great benefit that the ADS-B system is offering, making the airspace safer and more efficient. In 2010, FAA published a new requirement for aircraft that operate in certain conditions to have ADS-B out technology installed in the aircraft by 1st January 2020. This regulation was based on Title 14 of the US Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) sections 91.225 and 91.227. The regulation also required the ADS-B system to operate in US NAS to operate in 1090 and 978 MHz. As of

September 2017, the FAA already deploy an ADS-B ground station to support the ADS-B transmission and collected/relayed the collected ADS-B data.

For Europe, the regulation of ADS-B requirement that fly in the EU (European Union) air space is mandated in Regulation 1028/2014 and Regulation 2017/386. The regulation mandate, aircraft that operate in EU airspace that had criteria of fixed-wing aircraft with maximum take-off mass exceeding 5,700 kg or have maximum cruising true airspeed greater than 250 knots, will be required to have mode-s transponder and ADS-B out system installed by 7th June 2020. The regulation also mandates aircraft that will operate in the trans-Atlantic route to install the ADS-B Out system by 1st January 2020 to fill the FAA requirement fully. For required transmitted ADS-B data is base on EASA AMC 20-24 for ADS-B in Non-Radar Airspace or CS-ACNS for ADS-B out must include:

- Horizontal position of the aircraft (latitude/longitude)
- Barometric altitude of the aircraft (will be the same as for the SSR))
- Quality Indicator
- Aircraft Identification:
  - A unique 24-bit aircraft address
  - Identification of the aircraft
  - Mode A (In the case of CS ACNS for ADS-B Out)
- Emergency Status of the aircraft
- SPI (Special Position Indicator) when selected

In Indonesia, ADS-B research for ADS-B usage begins in 2007 that eventually produces a working ADS-B ground station equipment. The research aims to produce an ADS-B ground station equipment that can control air traffic around the airport and capable of detecting ADS-B out signal within 200 miles. As of 2016, the ADS-B ground station equipment already tested on Soekarno-Hatta international airport, which proving the equipment can detect aircraft within 250 Nm on 29,000 feet ("ADS-B alat navigasi penerbangan", 2016). Indonesia is reported to have

30 ground station that located in Banda Aceh, Matak, Soekarno-Hatta, Pangalan Bun, Cilacap, Palembang, Pekanbaru, Medan, Pontianak, Kintamani Bali, Palu, Ambon, Saumlaki, Alor, Waingapu, Tarakan, Galela, Timika, Kendari, Manado, Natuna, Makasar, Kupang, Sorong, Merauke, Malino Banjarmasin, Balikpapan, Biak, Surabaya, and Semarang (*Implementasi Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast (ADS-B) di Indonesia | Nurhayati | WARTA ARDHIA*, 2014). Those ground stations are integrated into two separate ATM (Air Traffic Management) systems that controlling two different FIR (Flight Information Region), which are JAATS (Jakarta Automated Air Traffic Control System) and MAATS (Makassar Automated Air Traffic Control System). A conference in July 2018, DNP (Direktorat Navigasi Penerbangan) stated that starting in 1st January 2018 aircraft that operate in FL 290 to FL 600 is required to have ADS-B transmitter.



FIGURE 2.3: ADS-B ground station location in Indonesia

#### 2.2.3 ADS-B Benefit

ADS-B is seen as the future of navigation system, which is proven by the United States that already made ADS-B as the primary system for upgrading and modernizing aviation infrastructure and operation. The program is called NextGen National Airspace Strategy that aims to replace the primary surveillance system from Radar to ADS-B. The reason is to improved safety and efficiency.

ADS-B can improve safety by increasing the situational awareness of the pilot. This is caused by the pilot have the ability to see clearer and detailed air traffic around the aircraft from ADS-B data that the pilot received. The situational awareness of ATC also can be increase because of the same reason. ADS-B can also improve airspace usage efficiency because when the ATM uses the ADS-B system, it can reduce the separation standard between aircraft. The smaller separation can be enabled because ADS-B has a higher accuracy when determining an aircraft position, which leads to ATC can guide/track aircraft more accurately. These improvements were eventually increasing the capacity of an airspace.

## 2.3 Flight Path Reconstruction

Flightpath reconstruction is a method that uses historical data set to determining aircraft conditions such as aircraft position and speed(Evans, Goodwin, Feik, Martin, & Lozano-Leal, 1985). This was done by using the data from onboard sensors such as inertial and air data sensors. The historical data set can also be obtained by other systems such as ADS-B.

## 2.4 Interpolation

Interpolation is a data approximation method that will generate a new specific data point between the range of 2 or more known values. For example, a government is commencing a census of their population with ten years interval, however now the government wants to approximate the total population between each year between those two available data. So, those specific data approximation  $p_n(x) = f_n$  where pn is interpolation, x is nodes, and f is the mathematical function which then we can use pn to get f value between two point of x.

Note: Interpolation method is only used to approximates value between two points; if the desired value is outside the range, it is called extrapolation.

For solving the interpolation problem, we can use a method of Lagrange interpolation. The method itself has two different approaches: linear interpolation and quadratic interpolation. For Linear interpolation, the new value of pn will make a straight line between the known  $valuex_0$  and  $x_1$ . The linear Lagrange polynomial can be express by:

$$p_1 = L_0 f_0 + L_1 f_1 \tag{2.1}$$

Where L is,

$$L_0(x) = \frac{x - x_1}{x_0 - x_1}, L_1(x) = \frac{x - x_0}{x_1 - x_0}$$
(2.2)

For the quadratic interpolation the new value of pn will made the quadratic line between the known value. The quadratic value of second degree polynomial can be acquired by:

$$p_1 = L_0 f_0 + L_1 f_1 + L_2 f_2 \tag{2.3}$$

Where L is,

$$L_0(x) = \frac{(x-x_1)(x-x_2)}{(x_0-x_1)(x_0-x_2)}, L_1(x) = \frac{(x-x_0)(x-x_2)}{(x_1-x_0)(x_1-x_2)}, L_2(x) = \frac{(x-x_0)(x-x_1)}{(x_2-x_0)(x_2-x_1)}$$
(2.4)

The interpolation method can be used if the value of pn is expected to unstable or fluctuated, which is called Spline. In general we can say that the generated pnbetween  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  can be bigger or smaller than the  $p_1$  and  $p_0$ .



FIGURE 2.4: Spline Interpolation Example (KREYSZIG, 2010)

Based on the figure above, we can see that interpolation can have a more accurate value when it uses the Spline method while approximating fluctuate/unstable data.

Then there is another interpolation method called PCHIP or Piecewise Cubic Hermit interpolation Polynomial. PCHIP interpolation can be interpreted as a shape-preserving piecewise cubic Hermite polynomial approach that will determine the slop from a function so that the generated value does not overshoot the data values(*pchip function | R Documentation*, 2020). PCHIP interpolation is also the opposite nature of Spline, where the PCHIP will maintaining the monotonicity of x and y value.

## 2.5 Kalman Filter

Kalman Filter is a type of estimation algorithm that uses a set of equations and a series of measurements observed over time, which have a noise (disturbance) in the measurement that can produce estimates of previously hidden variables. In Kalman Filter, the calculation process can be separated into 3 parts, which are measurement, prediction, and estimation.



FIGURE 2.5: Kalman Filter Algorithm(Becker (www.kalmanfilter.net), 2018a)

#### 2.5.1 Measurement

First of all, in the Kalman filter, the measurement value that was taken is always considered to have an error. In other words, we can not know the exact value or true value of a measurement. In Kalman filter, every measurement always contains

a random variable resulting in an error in the measurement. These will lead to varying results of the measurement value. However, in Kalman filter we always assume that the measurement always forms a normal distribution. These mean that the true value of the measurement is close to the repeated measurement's mean or average value. Although this condition is not always applied to every system, because in the real world, the measurement tool not always had high accuracy measurement. If the system has high accuracy measurement, then the repeated measurement's mean value is close with the true value, this type of system is called a unbias system. On the other hand, if the system had low accuracy, then the mean value and the true value have an enormous difference; this type of system is called bias system.

In the Kalman filter there 2 types of models that can describe the whole system. First, there is a dynamic model, which mean that the system true value is changing over time, for example, if Kalman filter is used in moving aircraft case. The second one is constant dynamic model, which means the system, in reality, has a constant true value, for example, if we measured the height of a building.

As mentioned previously, in real-world cases, we do not know the true value of a system, and that every measurement always had an error caused by the random variable. The Kalman filter calculation objective is to minimize error or uncertainty in the measurement or referred to as covariance, by doing multiple iterations of the calculation. If the covariance is minimized, then the measured value should be close with the "true value". The initial measurement usually referred to as initial estimate, the estimate is denoted by  $\hat{x}_{n,n}$  the first *n* is for the iteration number of the prediction, and the second *n* is for the first number of the estimate, so the initial estimate is  $\hat{x}_{0,0}$ . The covariance is denoted by  $\hat{P}_{n,n}$ 

#### 2.5.2 Prediction

The usage of the Kalman filter can be divided into two models. The first one is onedimensional Kalman filter. Which are used for single dimensional measurement; for example, the Kalman filter is used for measuring the temperature of an object. The other model is a multi-dimensional Kalman filter used for the system that multi-dimensional measurement. This model was used in this research because a 3-dimensional system was taken into consideration; that is mean the position, speed, and acceleration in x, y, z axis. The model needs to be determined because the single-dimensional and multi-dimensional calculations used a different set of equations. The significant difference between the two models is that the equation was calculated in matrix form when calculating the multi-dimensional Kalman filter.

As have been mention in the previous section the first measurement of a system in called initial estimation. This initial estimation is consider to be starting point of the Kalman filter calculation. The initial estimate of a system is also have the covariance which both of this value will be calculated in the prediction state. Then all known value is combine in state extrapolation which is:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\boldsymbol{n}+\boldsymbol{1},\boldsymbol{n}} = \boldsymbol{F}\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}} + \boldsymbol{G}\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}} + \boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$$
(2.5)

Where,

 $\hat{x}_{n+1,n}$  is the predicted system vector at time step n+1

 $\hat{x}_{n,n}$  is the current estimate system vector/initial estimate (State matrix)

 $\hat{u}_{n,n}$  is the control/input variable which is a measurable input to the system

 $\boldsymbol{w_n}$  is process noise, which is an un-measurable input that affects the state

 $\boldsymbol{F}$  is state transition matrix

G is a control matrix or input transition matrix (mapping control to state variable)

In the changing dynamic model, the  $\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}$  is a variable that affecting the state matrix. For example, an aircraft that moves in x, y, z axis, and has speed in all of those axes will have an input variable in the form of acceleration. Then the Fand G is a transition matrix that converts the variable of state and input matrix to compatible value so both matrices can be calculated. If the Kalman filter is used for a constant dynamic model the input variable can be considered as 0. In general, the purpose of the state extrapolation equation is to predict the state of the object after a certain time. For example, if we know the position, speed and acceleration of an aircraft, we can predict aircraft position and aircraft speed after a certain time ( $\Delta t$ ). In the prediction state, the covariance of a system need also to be predicted by using:

$$\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{n}+\boldsymbol{1},\boldsymbol{n}} = \boldsymbol{F}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}}\boldsymbol{F}^{T} + \boldsymbol{Q}$$
(2.6)

17/138

Where,

 $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}}$  is estimate uncertainty (covariance) of the current state

 $\boldsymbol{P}_{n+1,n}$  is the updated estimate uncertainty

F is the state transition matrix (Same matrix that used in state extrapolation) Q is the process noise matrix

In general, the purpose of the equation 2.6 is to readjust the parameter of the covariance matrix  $(\mathbf{P}_{n,n})$  so it can be calculated in the equation.

#### 2.5.3 Estimation

In the last stage of Kalman filter calculation, we get the prediction value of an object for the next measurement. For example, in moving aircraft, we can get the prediction of the aircraft position after a specific time. However, this prediction still had a noise that made the prediction value not accurate. Previously, this noise is defined as a random variable resulting in an error in the prediction; as mentioned before, Kalman filter's goal is to minimize this error, which will be done in this stage.

The first thing to be done in this stage is to know the "actual" measurement value of the object after a certain time that can be obtained by performing the second measurement. If the second measurement is already obtained, then the measurement needs to be converted with an equation that made the measurement value compatible with the Kalman filter equation. This conversion equation is known as Measurement equation:

$$\boldsymbol{z_n} = \boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{x_n} + \boldsymbol{v_n} \tag{2.7}$$

Where,

 $\boldsymbol{z_n}$  is the measurement vector

 $\boldsymbol{x_n}$  is the system state/measurement value

 $\boldsymbol{v_n}$  is random noise

H is the observation matrix

The purpose of the H is to transform the state matrix or the measurement value into the desire compatible matrix that can be calculated with other parameters.

In general the next thing to be done in this phase is to comparing the result of the prediction of the system state  $x_{n,n}$  with the "actual" measurement of the system state  $z_n$ . This comparison will resulting more accurate estimation of the system state. In actuality the comparison need an additional parameter that act as a correctional value that basically tell how much adjustment the system need so it can produce more accurate estimation. This adjustment parameter or commonly called kalman gain can be obtain by using:

$$\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{n}} = \frac{\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}-1}\boldsymbol{H}^{T}}{\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}-1}\boldsymbol{H}^{T} + \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}$$
(2.8)

Where,

 $\boldsymbol{K_n}$  is Kalman gain

 $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}-1}$  is the previous covariance of the system

 $\boldsymbol{R_n}$  is the measurement uncertainty/error

The Kalman gain is then used as a variable that controls the trade-off between the prediction of future values and the observation of current values. In other words, the Kalman gain tells how much we want to change the estimate by given measurement and prediction. The value of Kalman gain is only range between 0 and 1 or  $0 \leq K_n \leq 1$ . So the Kalman gain is used in the comparison equation between the prediction and "actual" measurement equation or also known as state update equation, which is:

$$\hat{x}_{n,n} = \hat{x}_{n,n-1} + K_n (z_n - H \hat{x}_{n,n-1})$$
 (2.9)

where,  $\hat{x}_{n,n}$  is the new estimated state vector

 $\hat{x}_{n,n-1}$  is the current predicted system state vector

 $K_n$  is kalman gain

H is the observation matrix

 $\boldsymbol{z_n}$  is the measurement vector

Intuitively if we get more accurate value of the state system, the value of the covariance should be decreasing. To obtaining the new estimation value of the covariance we can use the covariance update equation:

$$\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}} = (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{H}) \, \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}-1} \tag{2.10}$$

19/138

#### Where,

 $\boldsymbol{P}_{n,n}$  is estimate uncertainty (covariance) matrix of the current sate

*I* is identity matrix

 $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}-1}$  is the previous covariance of the system

 $\boldsymbol{K_n}$  is kalman gain

In general, the value of covariance should always be decreasing if the Kalman filter calculation is conducted continuously. Suppose the covariance is continuously decreasing with every calculation iteration. In that case, the value of the Kalman gain should also be decreasing because if the error/covariance is smaller, then the adjustment value(Kalman gain value) that was added in state update equation also becomes smaller.

If the new state estimation and new covariance are have been obtained, both of the values then inserted again to the prediction phase. This loop of prediction and estimation calculation is continuously done until the last measurement value is calculated. This looping calculation can be described as:



FIGURE 2.6: Kalman filter calculation loop (Becker (www.kalmanfilter.net), 2018b)

## CHAPTER 3 **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

#### **Data Acquisition** 3.1

The data set used in this research was obtained from opensky-network.org. Specifically, this research used the data from March  $9^{\text{th}}$  2020. Although Opensky-network offers other data set from different dates since the website adds new data once a week, this research only used the March  $9^{\text{th}}$  2020 data as the case study. In the Opensky-network website, the March 9<sup>th</sup> 2020 data set is divided hourly from 00 to 24 based on GMT+0 time zone. This research only used the data from 00 to 10 because of the hardware limitation to store and read the data set. The data set is downloaded in the form of a zip file containing a CSV file of the ADS-B data. The data set from Opensky-network had several parameters, including:

- Time • Onground
- Icao24 ID • Alert/SPI
- lat (Lattitude)
- lon (Longitude)
- Velocity
- Heading
- Vertrate

- Squawk
- Baroaltitude
- Geoaltitude
- lastposupdate
- Callsign • lastcontact

However, in this research, only 9 data parameters were used. Which are:

- Time: The timestamp of the data point is based on GMT+0 timezone, but the timestamp is in form of UNIX timestamp. The timestamp includes the date, month, year, hour, minute, and second description.
- Icao24 ID: Containing a unique 24-bit ICAO transponder ID that is used to differentiate aircraft/airframe.
- lat (Latitude): Geological latitude coordinate that is based on WGS84.
- lon (Longitude): Geological longitude coordinate that is based on WGS84.
- Velocity: Containing the magnitude of aircraft speed over ground in m/s(Meters per Seconds)
- Heading: Containing the direction of movement (track angle) as the clockwise angle from the geographic north.
- Vertrate: Containing the vertical speed of the aircraft in m/s
- Onground: Indicates whether the aircraft is broadcasting surface positions or airborne positions. If the aircraft is on the ground, it will show as True, and if the aircraft is airborne, it will be shown as False.
- Geoaltitude: Containing the altitude that determined using the GNSS (GPS) sensor

After extracting all the CSV files from the zip file, it needed to be merged into 1 file. The reason is to make it easier to process all the data. To merge the CSV files, this research used Python programming language. Several libraries need to be used, which are *os* and *glob* library. The code that used to merge the file are as follow:

```
1 #Determining the location of the file
```

2 path = 'C:\\Users\yttbk\.spyder-py3\Opensky'

```
3 extension = 'csv'
```

- 4 os.chdir(path)
- 5 #combining the csv file with a loop

```
6 all_filenames = [i for i in glob.glob('*.{}'.format(extension))]
```

```
7 combined_csv = pd.concat([pd.read_csv(f) for f in all_filenames ])
```

```
8 #export to csv
```

```
9 combined_csv.to_csv( "combined_csv.csv", index=False,
```

```
10 encoding='utf-8-sig')
```

After the merger, the total data point in the data set is 18855925. However, this file covering all data from all over the world, and both aircraft that still on the ground and airborne. In conducting the FPR with Kalman filter and interpolation, the calculation needs only need one aircraft that already airborne.

To filter the data set to the desire condition, first, the data point that contained on the ground aircraft needs to be removed. To accomplish this, the "onground" parameter needs to be filtered; if the data has a "True" value, the data point was removed. This can be done by using:

#### 1 df[df['onground'].isin([false])]

Those codes mean that if the column "onground" did not have "False" value, it was removed. After initiating this code, the total data point decreases to 17117696.

Then to simplified the filtration, the data need to be filtered by region/country. For this research, the aircraft that fly in the US was used. The data set need to be filtered again, so aircraft that did not fly in the US were removed. To accomplish that in Python, the data set can be filtered by the range of the latitude and longitude of the United States of America or filtered by the maximum and minimum of the US's geographical coordinate. The latitude range is from 19.50139 to 64.85694, and the longitude is from -161.75583 to -68.01197(*United States latitude and longitude*, 2015). Then those coordinate range will be used as the base value of the filtration in Python. The code to filter the coordinate range is:

```
1 df = df[(df['lat'] >= 19.50139) & (df['lat'] <= 64.85694)]
2 df = df[(df['lon'] >= -161.75583) & (df['lon'] <= -68.01197)]</pre>
```

After the filtration, there only 6009740 data point left. From this 6009740, there are 9719 unique aircraft listed on the data set. So, another filtration is needed to filter one unique aircraft. To done that, another line of code is needed to filter one unique ICAO24 ID. These codes are:

## 3.2 Data analysis

In this research, two types of analysis methods are conducted, which are interpolation and Kalman filter. The analysis aimed to produce the estimated position of the aircraft with reduced noise/inaccuracy and the position of the aircraft between periodical updates or, in other words, interruption/intermission periods. In the interpolation method, there are three different types of interpolation that were conducted in this thesis. The first one is linear interpolation, spline interpolation, and PCHIP (Piecewise Cubic Hermite interpolating Polynomial) interpolation.



FIGURE 3.1: Example of 3 Differents Interpolation Methods(Aircraft displacement overtime)

Note: The figure 3.1 is an example of data of an aircraft displacement overtime which have been interpolate with 3 different interpolation methods.

### 3.2.1 Interpolation

Linear interpolation is a type of interpolation that creates a new value, which made a continuous straight line (shortest straight line) between each data point, which


FIGURE 3.2: Algorithm of Trajectory Interpolation

means have a lesser quality of data. In this thesis, the Python programming was used the *scipy* library to produce all three different interpolation approaches. In the *scipy* library, linear interpolation can be immediately performed between the value of latitude and longitude (x and y coordinate, respectively). However, the PCHIP and spline interpolation method can not be performed directly because the *scipy* method needs one of the values of longitude or latitude that represent the x-axis to be a monotonic increasing value. This means that the x-axis value does not have any repetitive value and always increasing value along the x-axis. That is why the *scipy* library cannot directly be implemented because it is impossible to assume an aircraft always has this trajectory pattern.

To overcome this problem, there should be a new value that needs to be introduced, which had the monotonic increasing value and also represent the exact order of the coordinate movement. The value happens to be the timestamp data of the data points which is represented by time description in the data set. The timestamp value is intended to replace the x value in the interpolation so that one of the axes still maintained a monotonic increasing value. As a result of that, the interpolation calculation was separated into two sections. The first one is for the time and longitude as x and y. The second section is for the time and latitude as x and y. Although the linear interpolation does not need this procedure, it is important to make sure the result is comparable to each other, in order to uniformize the procedure.

As mentioned before, the interpolation needs to be conducted separately, but the code/procedure is almost the same; the difference only occurs when the longitude and latitude value are called. Before using the Scipy library to commencing interpolation between the time and coordinate. The timestamp value format needs to be changed. From the original UNIX time format to conventional format. This format is consists of year, month, date, hour, minute, and second. This was done so that in the analyzation process, the result becomes more readable for human. To perform this process, this research uses the *pandas* library. The overall code to do this is:

```
time_date = pd.to_datetime[df{'time'}]
```

However, the *scipy* library did not recognize the conventional time format. So, the format needs to be converted again to numerical format. From datetime format to numerical format using matplotlib.mdate library.

#### 1 time\_num = mdates.date2num(time\_date)}

Then the total of new points or nodes needed to be declared, which was made in the interpolation processes. To maximize the detail in the result and the hardware limitation, the total of new points is 10 million. The new point can be made by declaring the start and final value, and then the program will made 10 million values between the start and finish. The start and final value can be determined by the minimal and maximum value of time data. The new point/node can then be made by using NumPy library.

```
1 timeinterpnum = np.linspace(min(timenum), max(timenum), 10000000)
```

After that, Interpolation can be done between each coordinate of latitude and longitude. All of the Interpolation can be done using Scipy.interpolate library.

For linear interpolation:

```
1 latiinterplin = interpolate.interp1d(timenum, lati)
```

```
2 latiinterplin = latiinterplin(timeinterpnum)
```

Note: For latitude

```
1 {longinterplin = interpolate.interp1d(timenum, long)}
```

```
2 {longinterplin = longinterplin(timeinterpnum)}
```

Note: For longitude

For spline interpolation, it needs to replace all the interp1d to InterpolatedUnivariateSpline. The difference between linear and Spline interpolation is that it will create an interpolation of a new point that will be made a cubic line between existing data points ( the new point will have value outside the range of the existing data value).

For PCHIP interpolation replace all the inretp1d with pchip. Also, for this interpolation, it will create an interpolation of a new point that will be made into a smooth curve line between existing data points( the new point will have value outside the range of the existing data value). This interpolation approach's general idea is to mimic the real aircraft movement when turning, hence the benefit of a smooth curve interpolation generate.

After all interpolation approach has been done, the result was plotted. To plot the result, *matplotlib* library is used. The plot is made with the original longitude and latitude with the combination of the interpolated longitude and interpolated latitude. For the exact magnitude difference, this research is using MSE(Mean Square Error). The Formula of MSE can be written as:

MSE = 
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \tilde{y}_i)^2$$
 (3.1)

#### 3.2.2 Kalman Filter

Before calculating using the Kalman filter. The data parameters must be adjusted. The adjustment must be made because, in Kalman filter, the calculation is



FIGURE 3.3: Algorithm of Kalman Filter Calculation

computed in vector form. In order to fulfill those criteria, the velocity parameter that still in the scalar form must be converted into a 3-dimensional vector form. The vector velocity was consisting of  $v_x$ ,  $v_y$ , and  $v_z$  that correspond to velocity in latitude axis, velocity in longitude axis, and the vertical velocity. Although the vertical velocity is obtainable from the ADS-B data's vertrate parameter, the  $v_x$ and  $v_y$  must be calculated. To converting the scalar form, it will use:

$$v_x = vsin(c)$$
  
 $v_y = vcos(c)$ 

where,

v is velocity

c is the direction of movement of the aircraft as the clockwise angle from the geographic north

In the Python programming language using numpy library, the code will be:

```
1 Vx = df['velocity'] * np.cos(df['heading']) #Speed in X axis (m/s)
2 Vy = df['velocity'] * np.sin(df['heading']) #Speed in Y axis (m/s)
3 Vz = df['vertrate'] #Speed in Z axis (m/s)
```

In this Kalman filter calculation, the input variable will be using the acceleration of the aircraft. However, in the ADS-B data, the acceleration data is unavailable. So, an additional calculation is needed. To find the acceleration of the aircraft, we can use the velocity difference  $(\Delta v)$  and time difference  $(\Delta t)$  between data points. In the mathematical form it will be shown as:

$$a = \frac{v_2 - v_1}{t_2 - t_1} = \frac{\Delta v}{\Delta t}$$

where,

a is acceleration in  $m/s^2$ 

v is scalar velocity in m/s

t is time in second  $\boldsymbol{s}$ 

In python programming language the time difference can be find by using:

```
time_diff = (df['time'] - df['time'].shift())
```

2 time\_diff = pd.Series(pd.to\_timedelta(time\_diff))

```
3 time_diff = time_diff.dt.total_seconds() #time difference in second
```

```
df['time_difference'] = time_diff #declaring new data set in the data frame
```

Then after the time difference is obtained, the acceleration can be found and the vector acceleration can be found using the same method as the vector velocity. In python programming language the code will be:

```
Ac = (df['velocity'] - df['velocity'].shift()) / df['time_difference']
Ax = Ac * np.cos(df['heading'])
Ay = Ac * np.sin(df['heading'])
Az = ((df['vertrate'] - df['vertrate'].shift()) / df['time_difference'])
```

Then the coordinate position of the aircraft needs to be changed so that it was compatible with other variables. The coordinate represents the x, y, and z vector, which is latitude, longitude, and altitude were changed into the Earth-center Earthfixed coordinate system or known as ECEF. The ECEF is a type of conventional terrestrial coordinate system that determines its position by measuring the distance between the position to the center of the earth. The ECEF will be combining the distance to the center of the earth with the degrees of latitude and longitude. Unlike the Geographic coordinate system that determines the position from the degrees displacement from the equator for determining the latitude and degrees displacement from the Greenwich point for determining the longitude.



FIGURE 3.4: Geographic coordinate system (*Preprocessing: Cal*culate the Distance Between Longitude and Latitude Points with a Function | by The Data Detective | Towards Data Science, 2019)



FIGURE 3.5: ECEF coordinate system (*ECEF*(*Earth-Centered Earth-Fixed Frame*) Coordinate, 2016)

To convert the coordinate system, a python library was used. The library is *pymap3d*. The library only needs input from the original position coordinate, consisting of latitude, longitude, and altitude. By using the geodetic2ecef command in the library, the conversion was initialized. The code is done in a loop so that all coordinate for every timestamp are converted:

```
1 lat = (df3['lat'])
```

 $_2$  lon = (df3['lon'])

```
h = df3['geoaltitude']
x_coor,y_coor,z_coor = [], [], []
x_coor,y_coor,z_coor = pm.geodetic2ecef(lat,lon,h)
```

In order to calculate the Kalman Filter with Python programming, this research will use *filterpy* library. In general, the library consisting of 2 step process just like the actual Kalman Filter calculation. The first one is the predicted step, and the second one is the updated step. In the predicted step, the calculation of the state extrapolation and covariance extrapolation was done to produce the future prediction of the aircraft state. Then in the updated stage, the Kalman gain, state update, and covariance update equation were calculated to produce more accurate information of the current aircraft state. However, before the Cartesian variable is inputted in the filterpy, the matrix form for the equation need to be defined.

The *filterpy* library already providing a command that enabling the user to input the parameter separately. However, the *filterpy* can only compute a system that has two dimensions. For example, the position of x and y axis with its speed components. So the calculation will be conducted in 2 separate forms. The first one will be calculating the x and y axis component, and the second will be calculating the z axis component. The x and y component calculation will be referred as kf1 and the z component calculation will be referred as kf2.

The parameter that will be inputted in the first calculation(x and y axis) are: State system  $x_n$  using KalmanFilter.x command:

$$kf1 = \boldsymbol{x_n} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ V_x \\ V_y \end{bmatrix} \qquad \qquad kf2 = \boldsymbol{x_n} = \begin{bmatrix} z \\ V_z \end{bmatrix} \qquad (3.2)$$

Note: x, y and z is the coordinate in ECEF form.

State transition matrix  $\boldsymbol{F}$  using KalmanFilter.F command:

#### 31/138

$$kf1 = \mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & dt & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & dt \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad kf2 = \mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & dt \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (3.3)$$

Note: dt is the delta time between the current timestamp and future timestamp.

Input variable  $\boldsymbol{u}$  using KalmanFilter.u command:

$$kf1 = \boldsymbol{u_n} = \begin{bmatrix} a_x \\ a_y \end{bmatrix} \qquad \qquad kf2 = \boldsymbol{u_n} = \begin{bmatrix} a_z \end{bmatrix} \qquad (3.4)$$

Note:  $a_x$ ,  $a_y$  and  $a_z$  is the acceleration parameters.

Input transition variable  $\boldsymbol{B}$  using KalmanFilter.B command:

$$kf1 = \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} dt^2/2 & 0\\ 0 & dt^2/2\\ dt & 0\\ 0 & dt \end{bmatrix} \qquad kf2 = \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} dt^2/2 & 0\\ 0 & dt \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.5)

Covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{P_n}$  using KalmanFilter.P command:

$$kf1 = \mathbf{P_n} = \begin{bmatrix} VAR(x) & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & VAR(y) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & COV(V_x, V_x) & COV(V_x, V_y)\\ 0 & 0 & COV(V_y, V_x) & COV(V_y, V_y) \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.6)

$$kf2 = \boldsymbol{P_n} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} VAR(z) & dt \\ 0 & VAR(V_z) \end{array} \right]$$

Note: VAR(x), VAR(y) and VAR(z) is the square of GPS accuracy, this research will use 20 meter(*Global Positioning System (GPS) in Aviation | Aircraft Systems*, 2017). The *COV* section means that the velocity of one vector was multiplied with other vectors. The velocity of every vector is the same, which is 4 knot or 2.05778 m/s(*Civil Aviation Order 108.56 Instrument 2007*, 2007). The VAR means that the noise of a vector does not affect one another, which the opposite of the *COV* the noise in every vector is effecting others vector. Hence why the position is using *COV* or, in other words, is covariance except the  $V_z$ because it does not calculate with others vector velocity and the position using VAR or variance.

measurement matrix  $\boldsymbol{H}$  using KalmanFilter.H command:

$$kf1 = \boldsymbol{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \qquad kf2 = \boldsymbol{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (3.7)$$

Measurement covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{R}$  using KalmanFilter.R command:

$$kf1 = \mathbf{R}_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} VAR(x_{m}) & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & VAR(y_{m}) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & COV(V_{x}, V_{x}) & COV(V_{x}, V_{y})\\ 0 & 0 & COV(V_{y}, V_{x}) & COV(V_{y}, V_{y}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.8)

$$kf2 = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}} = \begin{bmatrix} VAR(z_m) & dt \\ 0 & VAR(V_z) \end{bmatrix}$$

Note:  $x_m$ ,  $y_m$  and  $z_m$  is based on the accuracy indicator or NIC value. It needs to be noted that these values can fluctuate between each iteration.

For the process noise matrix, Q is not used in the calculation because of the unavailability of the data parameter.

Usually, the ADS-B data have the quality indicator value that consists of NIC,

NACp, or SIL, but the data set provided by OpenSky-network did not have it. So, in this research, the quality indicator is generated by using python code. Considering this research use the NIC value as the bases for the quality indicator, the magnitude of the inaccuracy or noise was based on NIC value.

The NIC value is generated randomly with predetermine probability. Only the maximum value of each NIC value will be used in value generation. So, the probability of each NIC value is:

| NIC<br>Value | Probability |
|--------------|-------------|
| 0            | 2.39%       |
| 1            | 0.01%       |
| 2            | 0.01%       |
| 3            | 0.07%       |
| 4            | 0.01%       |
| 5            | 0.01%       |
| 6            | 0.01%       |
| 7            | 0.01%       |
| 8            | 0.08%       |
| 9            | 42.92%      |
| 10           | 50.59%      |
| 11           | 3.93%       |

TABLE 3.1: NIC Value Probability (Tesi & Pleninger, 2017)

Note: For the NIC 0 the horizontal accuracy is supposed to be unknown, but in this research, the horizontal accuracy of the NIC 0 will be 20 meters. For the vertical accuracy of the NIC value, less than 8 the 20-meter magnitude also will be used.

Then the equation process can be start by initiating an predict and update command in looping sequence for every timestamp:

```
1 #for kf1
2 for i in range(count):
3 pos = Measurement[i]
4 z = pos
```

```
5 kf1.predict ()
```

```
kf1.update (z)
6
   xs.append (kf1.x[0,0])
7
   ys.append (kf1.x[1,0])
8
   vxs.append (kf1.x[2,0])
9
   vys.append (kf1.x[3,0])
10
   pxs.append (pos[0])
11
   pys.append(pos[1])
12
   #for kf2
1
   for i in range(count):
2
   pos2 = Measurement2[i]
3
   z = pos2
4
   kf2.predict ()
5
   kf2.update (z)
6
   kzs.append (kf2.x[0,0])
7
   vzs.append (kf2.x[1,0])
8
   pzs.append (pos2[0])
9
```

```
10 pvz.append(pos2[1])
```

The resulting new estimate coordinate is still in the ECEF form, so it needed to be reconverted to geodetic form. The reason for this change is to compare the original data and new estimated data. To accomplish that task, a method of determining the data difference is needed. This research will be using the MSE (Mean Squared Error) method.

The reconverted coordinate also plotted using *basemap* library. So that the actual aircraft trajectory of estimated data from Kalman filter and original ADS-B data can be visualized in the geodetic format. The original result of the ECEF coordinate also will be plotted with *matplotlib* library, so that the difference between original ECEF ADS-B coordinate and Kalman filter data can be analyzed.

### CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 4.1 Interpolation Result

As mentioned before, in the previous chapter, the interpolation result was plotted with the original ADS-B data. This research was intended to create four different plots. Three of them contain a single interpolation and original data, and one of them contains all available data (all interpolation results and original ADS-B data). This was intended to visualize the result and visualize the comparison between the interpolation data and original ADS-B data.



FIGURE 4.1: Linear Interpolation

As mention before the figure 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4 is the result of comparison between interpolation data and ADS-B data. Originally the ADS-B data has 1119 timestamp or, in other words, 1119 data points. If all the original ADS-B data



FIGURE 4.2: Spline Interpolation



FIGURE 4.3: PCHIP Interpolation

points are used, each data point's separation is unseen able. To overcome this, the plot only shows a data point in 50 data point intervals, or only the 50<sup>th</sup> data point is shown. To make each data point more visible in the plot, this research uses the scatter plot mode in the *matplotlib* library.



FIGURE 4.4: Combination of All Interpolation

As mentioned in the previous chapter, originally, there is the sum of the total original data point plus 10 million new data points created in interpolation calculation. So, in general, there are 10 million and 1119 data points that were created in the interpolation calculation. This resulted in 10 million new data points are filling in the timestamp that was not covered in the original ADS-B data. As a result of that, the delta time between each data point becomes smaller. In order to visualize the smaller time interval between each data point as seen in figure 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4, this research used the line plot when using *matplotlib* library. This was aimed to resemble the continuous aircraft trajectory during flight, or in other words, it will resemble the interpolation data that does not have data point intervals.

As seen in figure 4.4 that the interpolation result between 3 interpolation methods did not have a visual difference as expected to see in the example in the figure 3.1. The reason is that the small periodical separation in the original data is not big enough that eventually made the graph indifference. The average delta time in this data example is 10 seconds.

Then, an analysis of the magnitude difference between interpolation data and ADS-B data is needed. For this analysis, this research will use the MSE method. To

be able to conduct this analysis first, both data need to have matching timestamp value. To do this, the interpolation data need to filter. This aims to remove data points that did not have a matching timestamp with the original ADS-B data. After that is done, then the analysis can begin. The MSE calculation was done in 2 separate forms. The first one is for the MSE between latitude and longitude coordinate of interpolation data and original ADS-B data. The second one is for distance difference in meter unit between each coordinate.

| MSE of<br>Coordinate Difference             | MSE (degree)         |
|---|----------------------|
| Latitude of Linear Interpolation and ADS-B  | 0                    |
| Latitude of Spline Interpolation and ADS-B  | $5.46\times10^{-28}$ |
| Latitude of PCHIP Interpolation and ADS-B   | 0                    |
| Longitude of Linear Interpolation and ADS-B | 0                    |
| Longitude of Spline Interpolation and ADS-B | $5.46\times10^{-28}$ |
| Longitude of PCHIP Interpolation and ADS-B  | 0                    |
|   |                      |

TABLE 4.1: MSE of Latitude and Longitude

| MSE of Distance   | MSE $(m^2)$            |
|---|------------------------|
| ADS-B Data and Linear Interpolation                                       | 0                      |
| ADS-B Data and Spline Interpolation<br>ADS-B Data and PCHIP Interpolation | $2.74 \times 10^{-10}$ |

TABLE 4.2: MSE of Distance

As seen in table 4.1 and 4.2 the value MSE is small. As a result of the MSE is above  $10 \times 10^{-14}$  the error can be ignored. So, in other words, the resulting interpolation coordinate has no difference with the original ADS-B data. However, it needed to remember that in reality, both values still had a noise or inaccuracy because, in the interpolation calculation, the noise and inaccuracy are not taken into consideration during the calculation.



FIGURE 4.5: MSE of Latitude and Longitude Over Time



FIGURE 4.6: MSE of Distance Over Time

### 4.2 Kalman Filter Result

The original result of the Kalman filter data is still in the ECEF format. The Kalman filter calculation result is then plotted into two different graphs: latitude

and longitude comparison between ADS-B data and estimated data(Kalman filter result) and the graph of the comparison altitude over time between ADS-B data and estimated data. To make the data point interval more visible, the graph only displays 1 data point every 50 available data points for both estimated data and the original ADS-B data. Both data was plotted using scatter mode. To make it easier to visualize the difference between ADS-B data and estimated data, the scatter plot's size is increased. As the plot results still use the ECEF form, the latitude, longitude, and altitude are still in vector form using the meter unit.



FIGURE 4.7: Latitude and Longitude Trajectory

As seen in figure 4.8 and 4.8, there is a small deviation between the estimated data and ADS-B data. To measure those position difference, MSE calculation is conducted. The result of the MSE calculation are displayed on table 4.3.

The result of MSE in the original coordinate of the latitude and longitude form also needs to be calculated. This was aimed to calculating actual position difference, which was not influenced by other factors. To accomplish that, this research reconverting the coordinate using the pymap3d library. After the reconversion, both coordinates can be plotted using *basemap* library so that the visualization of the aircraft trajectory is straightforward. The result of the *basemap* plot and MSE result can be seen in the figure 4.9 and table 4.4.

41/138



FIGURE 4.8: Altitude Trajectory

| MSE of<br>Distance                            | MSE $(m^2)$        |
|---|--------------------|
| Latitude of Estimated ECEF and<br>ADS-B ECEF  | $2.55 \times 10^6$ |
| Longitude of Estimated ECEF and<br>ADS-B ECEF | $2.35 \times 10^6$ |
| Altitude of Estimated ECEF and<br>ADS-B ECEF  | $2.80 \times 10^3$ |

 TABLE 4.3: MSE of ECEF Coordinate

| MSE of<br>Distance                  | MSE (degree)          |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Latitude of Estimated and<br>ADS-B  | $9.24 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Longitude of Estimated and<br>ADS-B | $3.42 \times 10^{-4}$ |

 TABLE 4.4:
 MSE of Earth Coordinate

To make it easier to assess each data's actual difference, this research implemented another MSE calculation for using the distance using the meter unit. The



FIGURE 4.9: Aircraft Trajectory

distance between coordinates in each data point can be calculated using *geopy* library and using the *vincenty* command. The result of MSE distance using meter unit can be seen in the tabel 4.5.

| MSE of<br>Distance                             | MSE $(m^2)$          |
|--|----------------------|
| Difference Between<br>Estimated and ADS-B Data | $1.10 \times 10^{5}$ |

TABLE 4.5: MSE of Earth Coordinate in  $m^2$ 

It needs to remember that, theoretically, Kalman filter is always assumed to be more accurate. This is because, in the Kalman filter calculation, the noise or inaccuracy indicated by the quality indicator of NIC is minimized. In this case study, most of the generated NIC values were in NIC 8 and NIC 9. So, if most of the NIC value is 8 and 9, the resulting MSE is  $1.10 \times 10^5$ . This research used other levels of NIC to simulate the aircraft's trajectory if another quality indicator value is applied. There are three different values that were used, which are 10 m, 45 m, and 30000 m. The result of the comparison between normal fluctuated noise and the 3 other constant noise can be seen in the figure 4.10, 4.11, and 4.12, and then table 4.6 is displaying the result as normal case, case 1, case 2, and case 3 for the normal MSE, 10 m MSE, 45 m MSE and 30000 m MSE respectively.



FIGURE 4.10: 10 meter Inaccuracy



FIGURE 4.11: 45 meter Inaccuracy

In the Kalman filter calculation, determining the aircraft's position between the interruption period is not straight forward as the Interpolation method. To obtain



FIGURE 4.12: 30000 meter Inaccuracy



FIGURE 4.13: Normal Inaccuracy

this information, the delta time in calculation needs to be changed manually. For example, in the previous case study, the average interruption period is 10 seconds, and now the FPR needs aircraft position data if the interruption time is 5 seconds. To accomplish that, the dt in Kalman filter calculation needs to change manually

AIRCRAFT FLIGHT PATH RECONSTRUCTION BASED ON ADS-B DATA USING KALMAN FILTER

| Based on<br>ECEF Axis | Normal case $MSE(m^2)$ | Case 1 $MSE(m^2)$  | Case 2 $MSE(m^2)$    | Case 3 $MSE(m^2)$    |
|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Х                     | $2.55 \times 10^6$     | $5.42 \times 10^3$ | $6.18 \times 10^{4}$ | $2.29 \times 10^{8}$ |
| Υ                     | $2.35 \times 10^6$     | $4.52 \times 10^3$ | $5.49 \times 10^4$   | $2.12 \times 10^8$   |
| Z                     | $8.11 \times 10^4$     | $4.14 \times 10^2$ | $2.80 \times 10^3$   | $4.79 \times 10^6$   |

TABLE 4.6: MSE Comparison Between Difference Noise

to 5. The result of this method can be seen in figure 4.14.



FIGURE 4.14: Fixed 5 Second Delta Time

This research also exploring ten differents aircraft trajectory so that the average of MSE can be obtained. Each MSE of ECEF component was calculated. Then the distance between each coordinate was also calculated the result of the calculation can be seen in table 4.7. However, it is important to mention that the aircraft a4e108, a009a4, ac9ff2, a732d9, a05a01, and a65c29 have a high intermission period, which ranges from 410 to 1040 second. This large intermission period may occur because the aircraft fly in the area that did not have any ADS-B receiver coverage.

#### 4.3 Result Comparison

In this section, the MSE between each method and original ADS-B data will be compared. The comparison is aim to showing the magnitude of the MSE for each method. The comparison can be seen from table 4.8 to table 4.10

AIRCRAFT FLIGHT PATH RECONSTRUCTION BASED ON ADS-B DATA USING KALMAN FILTER

| A/C Code | $\mathbf{x}$ $(m^2)$ | y $(m^2)$          | $z (m^2)$          | Distance $(m^2)$     |
|----------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| ad8bed   | $2.55 \times 10^6$   | $2.35 \times 10^6$ | $8.11 \times 10^4$ | $1.10 \times 10^{5}$ |
| a4e108   | $4.14 \times 10^6$   | $2.64 \times 10^6$ | $6.71 \times 10^5$ | $4.26 \times 10^6$   |
| a009a4   | $9.63 \times 10^6$   | $2.51 \times 10^6$ | $3.89 \times 10^4$ | $1.08 \times 10^7$   |
| a01c37   | $1.66 \times 10^6$   | $2.42 \times 10^6$ | $1.06 \times 10^4$ | $1.04 \times 10^5$   |
| ac9ff2   | $8.09 	imes 10^7$    | $8.86 \times 10^6$ | $2.34 \times 10^4$ | $3.81 \times 10^8$   |
| a732d9   | $1.29 \times 10^7$   | $1.62 \times 10^6$ | $6.32 \times 10^5$ | $1.50 \times 10^7$   |
| a05a01   | $1.34 	imes 10^7$    | $2.64 \times 10^6$ | $1.90 \times 10^5$ | $1.46 \times 10^7$   |
| a65c29   | $1.12 \times 10^7$   | $3.26 \times 10^6$ | $1.08 \times 10^4$ | $1.30 \times 10^7$   |
| a149f1   | $6.53 	imes 10^6$    | $5.49 	imes 10^6$  | $4.63 \times 10^3$ | $8.51 \times 10^6$   |
| a3a8ef   | $3.41 \times 10^6$   | $3.69 \times 10^6$ | $1.45 \times 10^4$ | $2.75 \times 10^5$   |
| Average  | $1.46 \times 10^7$   | $3.54 \times 10^6$ | $1.67 \times 10^5$ | $4.47 \times 10^{7}$ |

TABLE 4.7: Other Aircrafts MSE

| MSE of   | Kalman              | Linear   | Spline                 | PCHIP    |
|----------|---------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|
| Latitude | (degree)            | (degree) | (degree)               | (degree) |
| ADS-B    | $9.24\times10^{-5}$ | 0        | $6.12 \times 10^{-26}$ | 0        |

TABLE 4.8: MSE of Latitude Result Comparison

| MSE of    | Kalman              | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Linear} \\ \text{(degree)} \end{array}$ | Spline                 | PCHIP    |
|-----------|---------------------|---|------------------------|----------|
| Longitude | (degree)            |   | (degree)               | (degree) |
| ADS-B     | $3.42\times10^{-4}$ | 0   | $2.73 \times 10^{-25}$ | 0        |

 TABLE 4.9: MSE of Longitude Result Comparison

| MSE of<br>Distance | Kalman $(m^2)$     | Linear $(m^2)$ | Spline $(m^2)$       | PCHIP $(m^2)$ |
|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------|
| ADS-B              | $1.10 \times 10^5$ | 0              | $2.74\times10^{-18}$ | 0             |

TABLE 4.10: Distance Difference Comparison in  $m^2$ 

### CHAPTER 5 SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, AND RECOMMENDATION

### 5.1 Summary

In summary, FPR or flight path reconstruction by using the interpolation method and Kalman filter method have been done. In this research, both approaches were made using Python programming language. It is mainly using the *scipy* library for the interpolation and *filterpy* library for the Kalman filter calculation. The analysis that was conducted in this research is primarily based on the MSE comparison between original ADS-B data and result from both calculation methods. To visualize the result of interpolation and Kalman filter trajectory, this research mainly use the *matplotlib* library's in Python programming language.

### 5.2 Conclusion

In conclusion, the result of interpolation result virtually did not have any error value, or in other words, the original ADS-B data is similar to the interpolation result. This occurred due to the small delta time between timestamp or small interruption period. As a result of that, the interpolation MSE of distance between coordinates were very small if compared with the original ADS-B data. The exact value of the distance MSE between aircraft trajectory is 0  $m^2$  for linear interpolation,  $2.74 \times 10^{-18} m^2$  for spline interpolation, and 0  $m^2$  for PCHIP interpolation which means there is virtually no MSE because of the small maximum MSE value. However, those calculation results neglected the inaccuracy/noise of the ADS-B system. As a result, the interpolation method became inaccurate.

For the result of Kalman filter, theoretically this method result is the most accurate trajectory. With the assumption of most of the NIC Value is 8 and 9, the MSE between the original data and Kalman filter data is  $1.10 \times 10^5 m^2$ . For various inaccuracy values of 10, 45 ,and 30000 meters the MSE became  $5.42 \times 10^3 m^2$ ,  $4.52 \times 10^3 m^2$ , and  $4.14 \times 10^2 m^2$  for x, y, and z of 10 meters inaccuracy,  $6.18 \times 10^4 m^2$ ,  $5.49 \times 10^4 m^2$ , and  $2.80e+03 m^2$  for x, y, and z of 45 meters inaccuracy and  $2.29 \times 10^8 m^2$ ,  $2.12 \times 10^8 m^2$ , and  $4.79 \times 10^6 m^2$  for x, y, and z of 30000 meters inaccuracy. Then for producing aircraft trajectory between the interruption period, interpolation method was show to be much easier because when using Kalman filter the tools user needs to input the desired delta time manually.

However, it is important to remember that the result of the interpolation calculation methods has 0 or very small MSE value, it does not mean that it was accurate because, in this calculation, the inaccuracy/noise of the ADS-B system did not taken into consideration. While the MSE in Kalman filter did not consider as an error but as a trajectory deviation after noise/inaccuracy of ADS-B data is minimized. In other words, the noise/inaccuracy of the ADS-B data resulting an MSE of  $1.10 \times 10^5 m^2$  (for aircraft ad8bed).

### 5.3 Recommendation

As for the recommendation for future research in the same field, this research recommends using an ADS-B data set that has the original quality indicator value and not using a randomly generated version. This is aimed for producing a result that is not influenced by human-generated data. Then, the next recommendation is to develop a new python library for Kalman filter calculation. So, it is not required to perform a data adjustment before calculation the Kalman filter.

### References

- ADS-B alat navigasi penerbangan. (2016). Retrieved from http:// kelembagaan.ristekdikti.go.id/index.php/2016/12/09/ads-b-alat -navigasi-penerbangan/
- Becker (www.kalmanfilter.net), A. (2018a). Online Kalman Filter Tutorial. Retrieved 2020-08-06, from https://www.kalmanfilter.net/%7D (Library Catalog: www.kalmanfilter.net)
- Becker (www.kalmanfilter.net), A. (2018b). Online Kalman Filter Tutorial. Retrieved 2020-08-05, from https://www.kalmanfilter.net/%7D (Library Catalog: www.kalmanfilter.net)
- Calderwood, D. (2016, March). LAA and BMAA to approve future ADS-B installations. Retrieved 2020-08-05, from https://www.flyer.co.uk/ laa-and-bmaa-to-approve-future-ads-b-installations/ (Library Catalog: www.flyer.co.uk)
- Civil Aviation Order 108.56 Instrument 2007. (2007). Retrieved 2020-08-05, from https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2007L04874/Html/Text
- ECEF(Earth-Centered Earth-Fixed Frame) Coordinate. (2016, March). Retrieved 2020-08-05, from https://grandstayner.tistory.com/entry/ ECEFEarthCentered-EarthFixed-Frame-Coordinate (Library Catalog: grandstayner.tistory.com Section: IT )
- Evans, R. J., Goodwin, G. C., Feik, R. A., Martin, C., & Lozano-Leal, R. (1985, July). Aircraft Flight Data Compatibility Checking Using Maximum Likelihood and Extended Kalman Filter Estimation. *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, 18(5), 487–492. Retrieved 2020-08-05, from http:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1474667017606074 doi: 10.1016/S1474-6670(17)60607-4
- Global Positioning System (GPS) in Aviation | Aircraft Systems. (2017). Retrieved 2020-08-05, from https://www.aircraftsystemstech.com/2017/

05/global-positioning-system-gps.html

- Göttlicher, C., & Holzapfel, F. (2016). FLIGHT PATH RECONSTRUCTION FOR AN UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE USING LOW-COST SENSORS., 10.
- How Does FIS-B (Flight Information System Broadcast) Work? (2019). Retrieved 2020-08-05, from https://www.thebalancecareers.com/what-is -fis-b-282560
- Implementasi Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast (ADS-B) di Indonesia | Nurhayati | WARTA ARDHIA. (2014). Retrieved 2020-08-05, from https:// wartaardhia.com/index.php/wartaardhia/article/view/128/131
- Ins and Outs [template]. (2020). Retrieved 2020-08-05, from https://www
  .faa.gov/nextgen/equipadsb/capabilities/ins\_outs/ (Last Modified:
  2020-01-02T09:13:02-0500 Library Catalog: www.faa.gov)
- Introduction to Kalman Filter and Its Applications / IntechOpen. (2018). Retrieved 2020-08-05, from https://www.intechopen.com/books/ introduction-and-implementations-of-the-kalman-filter/ introduction-to-kalman-filter-and-its-applications
- KREYSZIG, E. (2010). advanced engineering mathematics erwin kreyszig.pdf (10th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
- PAPRUsersGuide. (2020). Retrieved from https://adsbperformance.faa.gov/ PAPRUsersGuide.pdf
- pchip function | R Documentation. (2020). Retrieved 2020-08-05, from https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/pracma/versions/1.9 .9/topics/pchip
- Preprocessing: Calculate the Distance Between Longitude and Latitude Points with a Function | by The Data Detective | Towards Data Science. (2019). Retrieved 2020-08-05, from https://towardsdatascience.com/ preprocessing-calculate-the-distance-between-longitude-and -latitude-points-with-a-function-8f748a2eab88
- RADAR Introduction of RADAR Systems, Types and Applications. (2013, September). Retrieved 2020-08-05, from https://www.elprocus.com/ radar-basics-types-and-applications/ (Library Catalog: www.elprocus.com)
- Tesi, S., & Pleninger, S. (2017). Analysis of Quality Indicators in ADS-B Messages.

MAD - Magazine of Aviation Development, 5(3), 6-12. Retrieved 2020-08-06, from https://ojs.cvut.cz/ojs/index.php/mad/article/view/4381%

7D (Number: 3) doi: 10.14311/MAD.2017.03.01

Types of Interpolation - Advantages and Disadvantages. (2019). Retrieved 2020-08-05, from http://www.gisresources.com/types-interpolation-methods

\_3/ (Library Catalog: www.gisresources.com)

- United States latitude and longitude. (2015). Retrieved 2020-08-05, from https://latitudelongitude.org/us/%7D
- What is radar (radio detection and ranging)? Definition from WhatIs.com. (2005). Retrieved 2020-08-05, from https://searchmobilecomputing .techtarget.com/definition/radar (Library Catalog: searchmobilecomputing.techtarget.com)

# Appendices

### Appendix A: Python Codes

```
import pandas as pd
1
   import numpy as np
2
   from mpl_toolkits.basemap import Basemap
3
   import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
4
   from filterpy.kalman import KalmanFilter
5
   import pymap3d as pm
6
   from geopy.distance import vincenty
7
8
9
   .....
10
   Inputing Data
11
   .....
12
   #Reading Data
13
   df = pd.read_csv('US_ad8bed.csv')
14
   df['time'] = pd.to datetime(df['time'],unit='s')
15
   df['heading'] = np.deg2rad(df['heading'])
16
17
   #Only include Aircraft on Air
18
   #df2 = df.drop(df.index[805:2849]) #US_a4e108
19
   df2 = df.drop(df.index[1122:3330]) #US_bed
20
   df3 = df2[df2['onground'].isin([False])]
21
22
23
24
   ......
25
   Converting Magnitude to Cartesian
26
   .....
27
```

```
#Convert Geodetic to ECEF
28
   lat = (df3['lat'])
29
   lon = (df3['lon'])
30
   h = df3['geoaltitude']
31
32
   x_coor,y_coor,z_coor = [], [], []
33
   x_coor,y_coor,z_coor = pm.geodetic2ecef(lat,lon,h)
^{34}
35
   coord_value = {"X_Coordinate" : x_coor,
36
                   "Y Coordinate" : y coor, "Z Coordinate" : z coor}
37
   Cart_coord = pd.DataFrame(coord value)
38
39
40
41
   #Converting velocity
42
43
   Vx = df3['velocity'] * np.cos(df3['heading']) #Speed in X axis (m/s)
44
   Vy = df3['velocity'] * np.sin(df3['heading']) #Speed in Y axis (m/s)
45
   Vz = df3['vertrate'] #Speed in Z axis (m/s)
46
47
   #Defining the acceleartion
48
49
   time diff = (df3['time'] - df3['time'].shift())
50
   time diff = pd.Series(pd.to timedelta(time diff))
51
   time diff = time diff.dt.total_seconds() #time difference in second
52
   df3['time difference'] = time diff #declaring new data set in the data frame
53
54
   Ac = (df3['velocity'] - df3['velocity'].shift()) /
55
   df3['time_difference'] #Acceleration scalar (m/s^2)
56
   Ax = Ac * np.cos(df3['heading'])
                                       #Acceleration in X axis (m/s^2)
57
   Ay = Ac * np.sin(df3['heading'])
                                       #Acceleration in Y axis (m/s^2)
58
   Az = ((df3['vertrate'] - df3['vertrate'].shift()) /
59
         df3['time difference']) #Acceleration in z axis (m/s<sup>2</sup>)
60
```

```
61
62
63
   #Generate Dummy Data for Measurement Uncertainty
64
   HACC = np.array([20, 37040, 14816, 7408, 3704, 1111.2,
65
                     926 ,370.4 ,185.2 ,75 ,25, 7.5]) #Horizonta Magnitude
66
   VAAC = np.array([20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20])
67
                     20,20,20,112,37.5,11])
68
   new data = len(df3['icao24'])
69
   df3['HACC'] = np.random.choice(HACC, new data,
70
      p= [0.0239,0.0001, 0.0001, 0.0007,0.0001,
71
          0.0001, 0.0001, 0.0001, 0.0008, 0.4290,
72
          0.5057, 0.0393]) #For Horizontal
73
74
   df3['VACC'] = np.random.choice(VAAC, new data,
75
      p= [0.0239,0.0001, 0.0001, 0.0007,0.0001,
76
          0.0001, 0.0001, 0.0001, 0.0008, 0.4290, 0.5057, 0.0393]) #For Vertical
77
78
79
80
   .....
81
   Kalman Filter(with acceleration)
82
   .....
83
   #Compiling Kalman Filter Data set
84
   all_value = {'time' : df3['time'], 'X_position' : Cart_coord['X_Coordinate'],
85
                 'Y position' : Cart coord['Y Coordinate'],
86
                 'Z_position' : Cart_coord['Z_Coordinate'],
87
                 'Velocity' : df3['velocity'],
88
                 'velocity_x' : Vx, 'velocity_y' : Vy,
89
                 'velocity z' : Vz, 'Acceleration' : Ac, 'acceleration x' : Ax,
90
                 'acceleration y' : Ay, 'acceleration z' : Az,
91
                 'Delta_time' : df3['time_difference'], 'HACC' : df3['HACC'],
92
                 'VACC' : df3['VACC'], 'Latitude' : df3['lat'],
93
```

```
'Longitude' : df3['lon']}
94
   df_kal = pd.DataFrame(all_value)
95
96
    #Defining Kalman Input
97
   Measurement = df_kal[['X_position', 'Y_position', 'velocity_x' ,
98
                            'velocity_y']].values.tolist()
99
    InputVariable = df_kal[['acceleration_x', 'acceleration_y']].values.tolist()
100
101
    inp = InputVariable[0] #Initial Input Variable
102
103
    init = np.array([Measurement[0]]). T #Initial Position
104
105
   dt = df_kal['Delta_time'].iloc[0] #Initial delta time
106
107
   varx = df_kal['HACC'].iloc[0]
108
109
   vary = df_kal['VACC'].iloc[0]
110
111
112
113
    #Defining Kalman Variable
114
    f = KalmanFilter(dim x=4, dim z=4, dim u = 2) #Setup kalman filter dimension
115
116
   f.x = init #Initial State matrix
117
118
   f.u = inp #Input/control variable
119
120
121
   f.B = np.array([(dt**2)/2, 0]),
122
                    [0, (dt**2)/2],
123
                    [dt, 0],
124
                    [0, dt]]) #Input/control transition matrix
125
126
```

```
f.F = np.array([[1, 0, dt, 0]])
127
                      [0, 1, 0, dt],
128
                      [0, 0, 1, 0],
129
                      [0, 0, 0, 1]]) #State transition matrix
130
131
   f.P = np.array([[400, 0, 0]])
132
                     [0, 400, 0, 0],
133
                      [0, 0, 4.25, 4.25],
134
                      [0, 0, 4.25, 4.25]]) #Covariance matrix
135
136
   f.R = np.array([[varx**2, 0, 0], 0])
137
                     [0, varx**2, 0, 0],
138
                      [0, 0, 4.25, 0],
139
                      [0, 0, 0, 4.25]]) #Measurement Covariance matrix
140
141
    #f.R = np.array([[30000, 0, 0], 0])
142
                       [0, 30000, 0, 0],
    #
143
                       [0, 0, 4.25, 0],
    #
144
                       [0, 0, 0, 4.25]]) #Measurement Covariance matrix for 30000 m
    #
145
146
    #f.R = np.array([[10, 0, 0], 0])
147
                       [0, 10, 0, 0],
    #
148
                       [0, 0, 4.25, 0],
    #
149
                       [0, 0, 0, 4.25]]) #Measurement Covariance matrix for 10 m
    #
150
151
    #f.R = np.array([[45, 0, 0, 0]])
152
    #
                       [0, 45, 0, 0],
153
                       [0, 0, 4.25, 0],
    #
154
                       [0, 0, 0, 4.25]]) #Measurement Covariance matrix for 45 m
    #
155
156
157
   f.H = np.eye(4, 4)
158
159
```

```
count = len(df_kal['Delta_time'])
160
   xs, ys, vxs, vys = [], [], [], []
161
   pxs, pys, pvx, pvy = [], [], [], []
162
163
    #Initialize Kalman Filter Calculation
164
    for i in range(count):
165
        dt = df kal['Delta time'].iloc[i]
166
        varx = df kal['HACC'].iloc[i]
167
        vary = df_kal['VACC'].iloc[i]
168
        inp = InputVariable[i]
169
        pos = Measurement[i]
170
        z = pos
171
        f.predict (inp)
172
        f.update (z)
173
        xs.append (f.x[0,0])
174
        ys.append (f.x[1,0])
175
        vxs.append (f.x[2,0])
176
        vys.append (f.x[3,0])
177
        pxs.append (pos[0])
178
        pys.append(pos[1])
179
180
181
182
    #Error Calculation
183
   Rx= ((np.array(pxs) - np.array(xs))**2)
184
   SERx = (np.sum(Rx))/count
185
   SERx1 = '{:.2e}'.format(SERx)
186
   print("X(lat) MSE = ", SERx1)
187
188
   Ry= ((np.array(pys) - np.array(ys))**2)
189
   SERy = (np.sum(Ry))/count
190
   SERy1 = '{:.2e}'.format(SERy)
191
   print("Y(lon) MSE = ", SERy1)
192
```

```
193
   Rxy = np.sqrt(SERy**2 + SERx**2)
194
   Rxy1 = '{:.2e}'.format(Rxy)
195
196
197
    #Plotting
198
    cbn = plt.figure(1)
199
   plt.plot(pxs, pys, 'bo', markevery = 50,markersize = 10,
200
              alpha=0.5, label = 'ADS-B Data')
201
   plt.plot(xs, ys, 'r.', markevery = 50, label = 'Estimated Data')
202
   plt.plot(pxs[0], pys[0], 'ms', markersize = 10, label = 'Start Point')
203
   plt.axis('equal')
204
   plt.xlabel('x (meter)')
205
   plt.ylabel('y (meter)')
206
   plt.title('acc[ad8bed]')
207
   plt.grid()
208
   plt.legend()
209
   plt.ticklabel_format(axis="x", style="sci", scilimits=(0,0))
210
   plt.ticklabel_format(axis="y", style="sci", scilimits=(0,0))
211
   plt.show()
212
213
214
    .....
215
    Kalman Filter(z axis)
216
    .....
217
    #Compiling Kalman Filter Data set
218
    all_value2 = {'time' : df3['time'],
219
                   'X position' : Cart coord['X Coordinate'],
220
                  'Y_position' : Cart_coord['Y_Coordinate'],
221
                  'Z position' : Cart coord['Z Coordinate'],
222
                  'Velocity' : df3['velocity'],
223
                  'velocity_x' : Vx, 'velocity_y' : Vy,
224
                  'velocity z' : Vz, 'Acceleration' : Ac, 'acceleration x' : Ax,
225
```
```
'acceleration_y' : Ay, 'acceleration_z' : Az,
226
                  'Delta_time' : df3['time_difference'], 'HACC' : df3['HACC'],
227
                  'VACC' : df3['VACC'], 'Latitude' : df3['lat'],
228
                  'Longitude' : df3['lon']}
229
    df_kal3 = pd.DataFrame(all_value2)
230
231
    #Defining Kalman Input
232
   Measurement2 = df kal3[['Z position', 'velocity z']].values.tolist()
233
    InputVariable2 = df_kal3[['acceleration_z']].values.tolist()
234
235
236
    inp2 = InputVariable2[0]
237
    init2 = np.array([Measurement2[0]]). T
238
   dt = df_kal3['Delta_time'].iloc[0]
239
240
   varx = df_kal3['HACC'].iloc[0]
241
242
    vary = df_kal3['VACC'].iloc[0]
243
244
245
    ##Defining Kalman Variable
246
    f2 = KalmanFilter(dim x=2, dim z=2, dim u = 1) #Setup kalman filter dimension
247
248
   f2.x = init2 #Initial State matrix
249
250
   f2.u = inp2 #Input/control variable
251
    #
252
   f2.B = np.array([(dt**2)/2]),
253
                                  #Input/control transition matrix
                        [dt]])
254
255
   f2.F = np.array([[1, dt]],
256
                     [0, 1]]) #State transition matrix
257
258
```

```
f2.P = np.array([[225, 0]],
259
                       [0, 4.25]])
260
261
262
    #f2.R = np.array([[30000, 0]],
263
    #
                        [0, 4.25]])
264
265
    #f2.R = np.array([[10, 0]],
266
    #
                        [0, 4.25]])
267
268
    #f2.R = np.array([[45, 0]],
269
                        [0, 4.25]])
    #
270
271
    f2.R = np.array([[vary**2, 0]])
272
                       [0, 4.25]])
273
274
    f2.H = np.eye(2, 2)
275
276
    count = len(df kal3['Delta time'])
277
    zs, vzs = [], []
278
    pzs, pvz = [], []
279
280
    #Initialize Kalman Filter Calculation
281
    for i in range(count):
282
        pos2 = Measurement2[i]
283
        dt = df kal3['Delta time'].iloc[i]
284
        varx = df_kal3['HACC'].iloc[i]
285
        vary = df_kal3['VACC'].iloc[i]
286
        z = pos2
287
        f2.predict (inp2)
288
        f2.update (z)
289
        zs.append (f2.x[0,0])
290
        vzs.append (f2.x[1,0])
291
```

```
pzs.append (pos2[0])
292
        pvz.append(pos2[1])
293
294
    #Error Calculation
295
   Rz= ((np.array(pzs) - np.array(zs))**2)
296
    SERz = (np.sum(Rz))/count
297
   SERz1 = '{:.2e}'.format(SERz)
298
   print("Z(height) MSE = ", SERz1)
299
300
    #Plotting
301
    cbn = plt.figure(1)
302
   plt.plot(df_kal3['time'], pzs, 'bo', markevery = 50,markersize = 10,
303
             alpha=0.5, label = 'ADS-B Data')
304
   plt.plot(df kal3['time'], zs, 'r.',
305
             markevery = 50, label = 'Estimated Data')
306
   plt.plot(df_kal3['time'].iloc[0], pzs[0], 'ms', markersize = 10,
307
             label = 'Start Point')
308
   plt.axis('equal')
309
   plt.xlabel('x (meter)')
310
   plt.ylabel('y (meter)')
311
   plt.title('acc[ad8bed]')
312
   plt.grid()
313
   plt.legend()
314
   plt.ticklabel_format(axis="x", style="sci", scilimits=(0,0))
315
   plt.ticklabel_format(axis="y", style="sci", scilimits=(0,0))
316
   plt.show()
317
318
    .....
319
    Convert ECEF to Geodetic
320
    .....
321
   new lat, new lon, new h = [], [], []
322
   new_lat, new_lon, new_h = pm.ecef2geodetic(xs, ys, zs)
323
324
```

```
325
    comp_pos = {"ADSB_lat" : df_kal3['Latitude'] ,
326
                 "ADSB lon" : df kal3['Longitude'],
327
                 "KF lat" : new lat, "KF lon" : new lon}
328
    ac_post = pd.DataFrame(comp_pos)
329
330
    abn = plt.figure(2)
331
    plt.plot( ac post['ADSB lon'], ac post['ADSB lat'], 'ro',
332
              markevery=50, markersize = 10, alpha=0.5, label = "ADS-B Data")
333
    plt.plot(ac post['KF lon'], ac post['KF lat'], 'b.' ,
334
              markevery=50 ,label = "Estimated Data")
335
    plt.axis('equal')
336
    plt.grid()
337
    plt.legend()
338
    plt.show()
339
340
341
342
    .....
343
    Error Calculation
344
    .....
345
           (ac post['ADSB lat'] - ac post['KF lat'])**2
    Rlat=
346
    SERlat = (np.sum(Rlat))/count
347
    SERlat1 = '{:.2e}'.format(SERlat)
348
    print("Latitude MSE = ", SERlat1)
349
350
           (ac_post['ADSB_lon'] - ac_post['KF_lon'])**2
    Rlon=
351
    SERlon = (np.sum(Rlon))/count
352
    SERlon1 = '{:.2e}'.format(SERlon)
353
    print("Longitude MSE = ", SERlon1)
354
355
    bk = pd.read csv('InterpolationResult(US ad8bed).csv')
356
    print("MSE of latitude(KalVlin) = ",
357
```

```
'{:.2e}'.format(
358
                   (np.sum((ac_post['KF_lat'] - bk['lat_lin'])**2)/count)))
359
   print("MSE of latitude(KalVspl) = ",
360
          ((np.sum((ac_post['KF_lat'] - bk['lat_spl'])**2)/count)))
361
   print("MSE of latitude(KalVpch) = ",
362
          '{:.2e}'.format((np.sum(
363
                   (ac post['KF lat'] - bk['lat pch'])**2)/count)))
364
   print("MSE of longitude(KalVlin) = ",
365
          '{:.2e}'.format(
366
                   (np.sum((ac post['KF lon'] - bk['long lin'])**2)/count)))
367
   print("MSE of longitude(KalVspl) = ",
368
          ((np.sum((ac_post['KF_lon'] - bk['long_spl'])**2)/count)))
369
   print("MSE of longitude(KalVpch) = ",
370
          '{:.2e}' format(
371
                   (np.sum((ac_post['KF_lon'] - bk['long_pch'])**2)/count)))
372
373
    #Distance Difference Calculation
374
375
   alldata = pd.concat([ac post, bk], axis=1)
376
   alldata = alldata.dropna()
377
378
379
   def distance calc kalVlin (row):
380
        start1 = (row['KF lat'], row['KF lon'])
381
        stop1 = (row['lat_lin'], row['long_lin'])
382
383
        return vincenty(start1, stop1).meters
384
385
   alldata['distance_kalVlin'] = alldata.apply (lambda row:
386
        distance calc kalVlin (row), axis=1)
387
388
   def distance_calc_kalVspl (row):
389
        start2 = (row['KF lat'], row['KF lon'])
390
```

```
stop2 = (row['lat_spl'], row['long_spl'])
391
392
        return vincenty(start2, stop2).meters
393
394
   alldata['distance_kalVspl'] = alldata.apply (lambda row:
395
        distance_calc_kalVspl (row), axis=1)
396
397
   def distance calc kalVpch (row):
398
        start3 = (row['KF_lat'], row['KF_lon'])
399
        stop3 = (row['lat pch'], row['long pch'])
400
401
        return vincenty(start3, stop3).meters
402
403
   alldata['distance_kalVpch'] = alldata.apply (lambda row:
404
        distance_calc_kalVpch (row), axis=1)
405
406
   def distance calc adsbVkal (row):
407
        start4 = (row['KF_lat'], row['KF_lon'])
408
        stop4 = (row['ADSB lat'], row['ADSB lon'])
409
410
        return vincenty(start4, stop4).meters
411
412
   alldata['distance adsbVkal'] = alldata.apply (lambda row:
413
        distance_calc_adsbVkal (row), axis=1)
414
415
   def distance calc adsbVlin (row):
416
        start5 = (row['lat_lin'], row['long_lin'])
417
        stop5 = (row['ADSB_lat'], row['ADSB_lon'])
418
419
        return vincenty(start5, stop5).meters
420
421
   alldata['distance_adsbVlin'] = alldata.apply (lambda row:
422
        distance calc adsbVlin (row), axis=1)
423
```

```
424
   def distance calc adsbVspl (row):
425
        start6 = (row['lat spl'], row['long spl'])
426
        stop6 = (row['ADSB lat'], row['ADSB lon'])
427
428
        return vincenty(start6, stop6).meters
429
430
   alldata['distance adsbVspl'] = alldata.apply (lambda row:
431
        distance calc adsbVspl (row), axis=1)
432
433
   def distance calc adsbVpch (row):
434
        start7 = (row['lat pch'], row['long pch'])
435
        stop7 = (row['ADSB lat'], row['ADSB lon'])
436
437
        return vincenty(start7, stop7).meters
438
439
   alldata['distance adsbVpch'] = alldata.apply (lambda row:
440
        distance_calc_adsbVpch (row), axis=1)
441
442
443
   print("MSE of distance(KalVlin) = ",
444
          '{:.2e}'.format((np.sum((alldata['distance kalVlin'])**2)/count)))
445
   print("MSE of distance(KalVspl) = ",
446
          ((np.sum((alldata['distance kalVspl'])**2)/count)))
447
   print("MSE of distance(KalVpch) = ",
448
          '{:.2e}'.format((np.sum((alldata['distance kalVpch'])**2)/count)))
449
   print("MSE of distance(AdsbVKal) = ",
450
          '{:.2e}'.format((np.sum((alldata['distance adsbVkal'])**2)/count)))
451
   print("MSE of distance(AdsbVlin) = ",
452
          '{:.2e}'.format((np.sum((alldata['distance adsbVlin'])**2)/count)))
453
   print("MSE of distance(AdsbVspl) = ",
454
          ((np.sum((alldata['distance adsbVspl'])**2)/count)))
455
   print("MSE of distance(AdsbVpch) = ",
456
```

```
'{:.2e}'.format((np.sum((alldata['distance_adsbVpch'])**2)/count)))
457
458
459
    .....
460
   Basemap
461
    .....
462
463
    #PLOTTING WITH BASEMAP
464
   lats1 = ac_post['ADSB_lat'].tolist()
465
   lons1 = ac post['ADSB lon'].tolist()
466
467
   lats2 = ac_post['KF_lat'].tolist()
468
   lons2 = ac_post['KF_lon'].tolist()
469
470
    # How much to zoom from coordinates (in degrees)
471
   zoom_scale = 3
472
473
    #Setup the bounding box for the zoom and bounds of the map
474
   bbox = [np.min(lats1)-zoom scale,np.max(lats1)+zoom scale,
475
                 np.min(lons1)-zoom scale,np.max(lons1)+zoom scale]
476
   plt.figure(figsize=(12,6))
477
478
    #Define the projection, scale,
479
    #the corners of the map, and the resolution. n'',
480
   m = Basemap(projection='merc',llcrnrlat=bbox[0],urcrnrlat=bbox[1],
481
                     llcrnrlon=bbox[2],
482
                     urcrnrlon=bbox[3],lat_ts=10,resolution='i')
483
484
    # Draw coastlines and
485
    #fill continents and water with color.drawcoastlines()
486
   m.fillcontinents(color='peru',lake color='dodgerblue')
487
488
    # draw parallels, meridians, and color boundaries
489
```

```
m.drawparallels(np.arange(bbox[0],bbox[1],
490
                                (bbox[1]-bbox[0])/5),labels=[1,0,0,0])
491
   m.drawmeridians(np.arange(bbox[2],bbox[3],
492
                                (bbox[3]-bbox[2])/5),labels=[0,0,0,1],rotation=45)
493
   m.drawmapboundary(fill_color='dodgerblue')
494
495
    # build and plot coordinates onto map
496
   x1,y1 = m(lons1, lats1)
497
   x2,y2 = m(lons2, lats2)
498
   m.plot(x2,y2,'bo',color='b', markevery=50 ,label = "Estimated Data")
499
   m.plot(x1,y1,'ro', color='r',
500
           markevery=50, markersize = 10, alpha=0.5, label = "ADS-B Data")
501
   plt.legend()
502
   plt.title("Aircraft ad8bed"))
503
   plt.show()
504
    # -*- coding: utf-8 -*-
 1
    .....
 2
   Created on Fri Jul 10 18:07:02 2020
 3
 4
    Qauthor: yttbk
 5
    .....
 6
 7
   import pandas as pd
 8
   import numpy as np
 9
   import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
10
   import matplotlib.dates as mdates
11
   from scipy import interpolate
12
   from geopy.distance import vincenty
13
14
    .....
15
   Inputing Data
16
    .....
17
   #Reading Data
18
```

```
df = pd.read_csv('US_ad8bed.csv')
19
   df['time'] = pd.to datetime(df['time'],unit='s')
20
   df['heading'] = np.deg2rad(df['heading'])
21
22
   #Only include Aircraft on Air
^{23}
   #df2 = df.drop(df.index[805:2849]) #US_a4e108
24
   df2 = df.drop(df.index[1122:3330]) #US_bed
25
   df3 = df2[df2['onground'].isin([False])]
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
   lati = df3['lat']
33
   long = df3['lon']
34
   time date = pd.to datetime(df3['time'])#setting time format
35
   time_num = mdates.date2num(time_date)
36
37
38
   #Interpolation
39
   time_interp_num = np.linspace(min
40
                                    (time num), max(time num),
41
                                    10000000)#determining Total new point
42
   time_interp_num = np.concatenate([time_interp_num,time_num])
43
   time interp num = np.sort(time interp num)
44
45
   #convert time date to numerical
46
   time_interp_date = mdates.num2date(time_interp_num)
47
48
   .....
49
   Linear
50
   .....
51
```

```
#Commencing Linear Interpolation
52
   lati interp lin = interpolate.interp1d(time num, lati)
53
   lati interp lin = lati interp lin(time interp num)
54
55
   #Commencing Linear Interpolation
56
   long_interp_lin = interpolate.interp1d(time_num, long)
57
   long_interp_lin = long_interp_lin(time_interp_num)
58
59
60
   .....
61
   Spline
62
   .....
63
   #Commencing Spline Interpolation
64
   lati_interp_spl = interpolate.InterpolatedUnivariateSpline(time num, lati)
65
   lati_interp_spl = lati_interp_spl(time_interp_num)
66
67
   #Commencing Spline Interpolation
68
   long_interp_spl = interpolate.InterpolatedUnivariateSpline(time_num, long)
69
   long interp spl = long interp spl(time interp num)
70
71
72
   .....
73
   PCHIP
74
   .....
75
   #Commencing Linear PCHIP
76
   lati interp pch = interpolate.pchip(time num, lati)
77
   lati_interp_pch = lati_interp_pch(time_interp_num)
78
79
   #Commencing Linear PCHIP
80
   long interp pch = interpolate.pchip(time num, long)
81
   long interp pch = long interp pch(time interp num)
82
83
84
```

```
#Sum Square Error
85
   keywords = time num
86
   all interp = {'logged time' : time interp num,
87
                   'lat_lin' : lati_interp_lin,
88
                   'lat_spl' : lati_interp_spl, 'lat_pch' : lati_interp_pch,
89
                   'long_lin' : long_interp_lin, 'long_spl' : long_interp_spl,
90
                   'long pch' : long interp pch}
91
   bk1 = pd.DataFrame(all interp, columns= ['logged time',
92
                                                'lat lin', 'lat_spl',
93
                                                'lat pch', 'long lin', 'long spl',
94
                                                'long pch'])
95
   bk2 = bk1[bk1['logged time'].isin(keywords)]
96
   bk3 = bk2.drop duplicates(subset ="logged time", keep = 'first')
97
98
   bk3 = bk3.reset_index()
99
   bk3['lat'] = df3['lat']
100
   bk3['lon'] = df3['lon']
101
102
    #Clculating Distance Difference
103
   def distance calc adsbVlin (row):
104
        start5 = (row['lat lin'], row['long lin'])
105
        stop5 = (row['lat'], row['lon'])
106
107
        return vincenty(start5, stop5).meters
108
109
   bk3['distance adsbVlin'] = bk3.apply (lambda row:
110
        distance_calc_adsbVlin (row), axis=1)
111
112
   def distance_calc_adsbVspl (row):
113
        start6 = (row['lat_spl'], row['long_spl'])
114
        stop6 = (row['lat'], row['lon'])
115
116
        return vincenty(start6, stop6) meters
117
```

```
118
   bk3['distance_adsbVspl'] = bk3.apply (lambda row:
119
        distance calc adsbVspl (row), axis=1)
120
121
   def distance_calc_adsbVpch (row):
122
        start7 = (row['lat_pch'], row['long_pch'])
123
        stop7 = (row['lat'], row['lon'])
124
125
        return vincenty(start7, stop7).meters
126
127
    bk3['distance adsbVpch'] = bk3.apply (lambda row:
128
        distance_calc_adsbVpch (row), axis=1)
129
130
    e1a = np.array(lati)
131
    e1b = np.array(long)
132
    e2 = np.array(bk3['lat_spl'])
133
    e3 = np.array(bk3['lat pch'])
134
    e4 = np.array(bk3['lat_lin'])
135
    e5 = np.array(bk3['long spl'])
136
    e6 = np.array(bk3['long pch'])
137
    e7 = np.array(bk3['long lin'])
138
    count = len(bk3['lat spl'])
139
140
    sse1 = ((e1a - e2) ** 2)
141
    tsse1 = np.sum(sse1)/count
142
    sse2 = ((e1a - e3) ** 2)
143
    tsse2 = np.sum(sse2)/count
144
    sse3 = ((e1a - e4) ** 2)
145
    tsse3 = np.sum(sse3)/count
146
    sse4 = ((e1b - e5) ** 2)
147
    tsse4 = np.sum(sse4)/count
148
    sse5 = ((e1b - e6) ** 2)
149
    tsse5 = np.sum(sse5)/count
150
```

```
sse6 = ((e1b - e7) ** 2)
151
    tsse6 = np.sum(sse6)/count
152
153
154
    ssem = plt.figure(6)
155
   plt.plot(time_date, bk3['distance_adsbVspl'], 'r', label = 'spl')
156
    plt.plot(time date, bk3['distance adsbVpch'], 'b', label = 'pch')
157
    plt.plot(time date, bk3['distance adsbVlin'], 'y', label = 'lin')
158
   plt.xlabel('Time')
159
   plt.ylabel('MSE (meter)')
160
   plt.title('MSE Value in Meter')
161
   plt.grid()
162
   plt.legend()
163
   plt.show()
164
165
166
    sse = plt.figure(5)
167
   plt.plot(time_date, sse1, 'r', label = 'lat spl')
168
   plt.plot(time_date, sse2, 'b', label = 'lat pch')
169
   plt.plot(time_date, sse3, 'y', label = 'lat lin')
170
   plt.plot(time_date, sse4, 'k', label = 'lon spl')
171
   plt.plot(time date, sse5, 'g', label = 'lon pch')
172
   plt.plot(time date, sse6, 'c', label = 'lon lin')
173
   plt.xlabel('Time')
174
   plt.ylabel('MSE ( $^\circ$ )')
175
   plt.title('MSE Value')
176
   plt.grid()
177
   plt.legend()
178
   plt.show()
179
180
181
182
    #PLOTING
183
```

```
.....
184
    Linear
185
    .....
186
   linear = plt.figure(1)
187
   plt.plot(long, lati, 'o', markevery = 50,
188
             markersize = 10, alpha=0.5, label = 'ADS-B Data')
189
   plt.plot(long interp lin, lati interp lin, 'r-', label = 'Interp Data')
190
    plt.title('Aircraft Movement with Linear Interpolation')
191
   plt.xlabel('Longitude ( $^\circ$ )')
192
   plt.ylabel('Latitude ( $^\circ$ )')
193
   plt.legend()
194
   plt.grid()
195
   plt.axes().set_aspect('equal', 'datalim')
196
   plt.show()
197
198
199
200
201
    .....
202
    Spline
203
    .....
204
    spl = plt.figure(2)
205
   plt.plot(long, lati, 'o', markevery = 50,
206
             markersize = 10, alpha=0.5, label = 'ADS-B Data')
207
   plt.plot(long_interp_spl, lati_interp_spl, 'r-', label = 'Interp Data')
208
    plt.title('Aircraft Movement with Spline Interpolation')
209
   plt.xlabel('Longitude ( $^\circ$ )')
210
   plt.ylabel('Latitude ( $^\circ$ )')
211
   plt.legend()
212
   plt.grid()
213
   plt.axes() set aspect('equal', 'datalim')
214
   plt.show()
215
216
```

```
217
218
    .....
219
    PCHIP
220
    .....
221
   pch = plt.figure(3)
222
   plt.plot(long, lati, 'o', markevery = 50,
223
             markersize = 10, alpha=0.5, label = 'ADS-B Data')
224
   plt.plot(long_interp_pch, lati_interp_pch, 'r-', label = 'Interp Data')
225
   plt.title('Aircraft Movement with PCHIP Interpolation')
226
    plt.xlabel('Longitude ( $^\circ$ )')
227
    plt.ylabel('Latitude ( $^\circ$ )')
228
   plt.legend()
229
   plt.grid()
230
   plt.axes().set_aspect('equal', 'datalim')
231
   plt.show()
232
233
234
235
236
237
    .....
238
    Combine
239
    .....
240
    cbn = plt.figure(4)
241
   plt.plot(long, lati, 'o', markevery = 50,
242
              markersize = 10, alpha=0.5, label = 'ADS-B Data')
243
   plt.plot(long_interp_spl, lati_interp_spl, 'r-', label = 'Interp Spline')
244
   plt.plot(long_interp_pch, lati_interp_pch, 'g-', label = 'Interp PCHIP')
245
    plt.plot(long_interp_lin, lati_interp_lin, 'k-', label = 'Interp Linear')
246
    plt.title('Aircraft Movement with Interpolation')
247
   plt.xlabel('Longitude ( $^\circ$ )')
248
   plt.ylabel('Latitude ( $^\circ$ )')
249
```

- 250 plt.legend()
- <sup>251</sup> plt.grid()
- 252 plt.axes().set\_aspect('equal', 'datalim')
- 253 plt.show()

### **Turnitin Report**

# Aircraft Flight Path Reconstruction Based on ADS-B Data Using Kalman Filter

by Yazfan Tabah

Submission date: 20-Sep-2020 06:05AM (UTC+0200) Submission ID: 1391535109 File name: finalrevision20092020.pdf (1.33M) Word count: 13115 Character count: 64039

#### ABSTRACT

Aircraft Flight Path Reconstruction Based on ADS-B Data Using Kalman Filter

by

Yazfan Tabah Tahta Bagaskara

Triwanto Simanjuntak, PhD, Advisor

In this thesis, the Kalman filter algorithm was used to perform flight path reconstruction. The flight path reconstruction was based on the ADS-B data set that has been obtained from opensky-network.org. Other methods, such as interpolation calculation, also can be used to perform the flight path reconstruction. However, in using interpolation methods, the ADS-B data inaccuracy is not taken into account. Since the position information in the ADS-B data has an error that are indicated by NIC (Navigation Integrity Code). So, the result of the flight path reconstruction based on interpolation calculations are theoretically inaccurate. There were 3 interpolation methods that were explored in this research; they were linear interpolation, spline interpolation, and PCHIP interpolation. These methods were used as preliminary tools to construct the flight path and to see how they might diverge from the Kalman Filter's results. To minimize the ADS-B data inaccuracy, this research used the Kalman filter method; in this research, the Kalman filter calculation was based only on the kinematic equation. The Kalman filter results were compared with the original data in order to observe how the inaccuracy could deteriorate the aircraft trajectory.

Keyword: ADS-B, Interpolation, Kalman Filter, Flight Path, Navigation Integrity Category (NIC)

### CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background

By definition, flight path is a set of corridors that connects one location to another location. In general, we can define the flight path as a route that the aircraft took to get from one point to another point. The corridors that the aircraft used is made by a set of geographical coordinates. These coordinates are usually based on satellite navigational systems or other ground-based radio transmitters navigational system. To monitor the aircraft during flight, we can track it by using a method called flight tracking. Flight tracking is a method of aircraft monitoring used by surveillance users such as an ATC (Air Traffic Controller) to monitor the aircraft during flight by using a surveillance system. The tracking of a flight can be done in real-time or reconstruct by using historical data sets that contain the aircraft condition(i.e., latitude and longitude coordinates). There are several systems that can be used in flight tracking, such as using a radar-based monitoring technique,  $\frac{1}{35}$ which uses a principle of a radar radio wave to determine the distance between the aircraft and the radar source to obtain the position of the aircraft. Then there is a  $\frac{47}{47}$ satellite-based tracking system that uses GNSS (Global Navigation Satellite System ) to determine the aircraft's exact coordinate, which is called ADS-B. The ADS-B (Automatic Dependent Surveillance-Broadcast) satellite-based tracking system is integrated into surveillance technology that monitors aircraft conditions and trajectories. The ADS-B system can track the aircraft in real-time and record all the information that the system received. The recorded data that the ADS-B receives can be used as source data in FPR (Flight Path Reconstruction). FPR results can be used to test the reliability of the data and to check post-flight data. FPR utilizes the aircraft sensors data to determine the position, velocity, and altitude of an aircraft to perform those tasks. In reality, the sensor only consists of

the estimation of the said information. A kinematic model of the aircraft is needed to obtain more accurate information on the aircraft state. The idea was to combine the kinematic model with the complete flight data to recreate the state at an exact timestamp. The reconstruct data then can be used to analyze system identification, dynamic analysis, or control algorithm assessment(Göttlicher & Holzapfel, 2016). By knowing the accurate state of the aircraft at a certain point in time, the data can be used as the tools to help the investigation of an aircraft accident or incident.

#### 1.2 Problem Statement

All the systems used for aircraft tracking have a time when the system needs to update the aircraft information. If we view these exact timestamps, we will see information unavailability. This period is called intermission/interruption periods. For example, in a radar-based tracking system, the intermission period can be caused by the latency between the time radio wave is sent to detect the aircraft and when the radio wave is received after it bounces back after detecting an aircraft. For the ADS-B system, the intermission periods were caused by the time the system needs to update the aircraft information, which occurs because the ADS-B did not continuously update the aircraft information. However, there is a gap between each data transmission. In optimal condition, this period lasts for half a second (0.5s). In conclusion, during the intermission periods, every data set collected by a different system has a point where there is data have unavailability. The ADS-B also has inaccuracy when the system is determining the aircraft position. The ADS-B commonly have a quality indicator value that was informing the level of the data accuracy. The quality indicator value can be indicated by several types, which were described in a regulation standard DO-260/A/B. In DO-260/A/B, the quality indicator can be shown as NUC (Navigation Uncertainty Code) or NACp (Navigation Accuracy Code for Position) or NIC (Navigation Integrity Code) or SIL (Surveillance Integrity Level). The value of one of these codes will be describing the accuracy of the ADS-B data. This research used the NIC value, the quality indicator code indicating the accuracy radius of the ADS-B data. The accuracy radius is used for determining the vertical and horizontal position accuracy of the aircraft.

#### 1.3 Research Purpose

To overcome the unavailability during the intermission period and inaccuracy of the aircraft position, a flight path estimation need to be generated. This problem can be resolve by using flight path reconstruction methods or FPR. Flight path reconstruction is a method that uses a historical data set of an aircraft condition to reconstruct the past aircraft trajectory. Flightpath reconstruction can be done by using a mathematical computation.

The result of the flight path reconstruction was expected:

- To be used as a tool in aircraft incident/accident.
- To be used as a post-flight analysis data based on the flight trajectory
- To able to producing an estimated aircraft trajectory that had minimal noise or inaccuracy

#### 1.4 Research Scope

This research only used a historical data set that has been gathered by an ADS-B system. This historical data set was obtained from opensky-network.org. The downloaded data set is in the form of a CSV (Comma-Separated Values) file. This research used the data set from 03 March 2020. Due to the format of the openskynetwork.org, the data was separated hourly; because of that and hardware limitation, this research used only the first 10 hours of 03 March 2020 data set, which have a total around 3.097 Gb of data. The reason that the opensky-network.org data set is chosen because the data set offers almost all data parameters required in the calculation: timestamp, position (Latitude, Longitude, and altitude), velocity, vertical rate, and magnetic heading. However, the data set that has been obtained from opensky-network.org did not have a quality indicator value. So, to overcome this, the quality indicator is generated randomly using Python programming language. This research utilized python programming language as a tool for performing the FPR. The FPR that was conducted in this research was based on two methods, which are interpolation calculation and Kalman filter calculation.

#### 1.5 Research Approach

The data analysis for this research is conducted by comparing the result from Kalman filter calculation and interpolation calculation with actual ADS-B data to see if there is a deviation between the original aircraft trajectory and aircraft trajectory based on interpolation and Kalman filter calculation. The python programming language is used as a tool for completing the data analysis. The data comparison is based on the MSE(Mean Square Error) calculation. The MSE calculation was intended to show the data difference between the estimated data (Result of interpolation and Kalman filter calculation) and actual data.

The steps to perform this research are:

- 1. Data set acquisition
- 2. Performing data filtration
- 3. Performing data adjustment so that the data parameters are compatible with one another
- 4. Performing the interpolation and Kalman filter calculation
- 5. Analyzing the result of the Kalman filter calculation and interpolation calculation

### CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

In general, the ADS-B system can be divided into two categories. They are ADS-B Out and ADS-B In. The ADS-B out is acted as the system's broadcaster, and the ADS-B In is acted as the receiver. As the receiver, the ADS-B In is only receiving the data parameters that the ADS-B out sends, including aircraft velocity, aircraft identification, surface position, airborne position, TCAS, emergency status, and operational status. In the ADS-B system, there is a periodical interval between each data cycle (broadcast and receive). This means that during the interval, an estimated aircraft position between interruption can be made by considering this situation as a regression problem with using the known aircraft condition when before and after the intermission period.

In order to generate those flight paths, a method of flight path generation needs to be chosen. In this research, there are two methods that were used, which were Kalman filter and interpolation. Kalman filter is an algorithm that generates an estimate of previously unknown variables that used a combination of estimation generated by a calculation and observation of measurement over a period of time (Introduction to Kalman Filter and Its Applications | IntechOpen, 2018). In this research, the Kalman filter calculation was done by using Python programming language. The Kalman filter calculation is based on the kinematic calculation of the aircraft trajectory. The other method used in this research is interpolation; this is a method of generating an estimation of a previously unknown variable using a known data point from a historical data set(Types of Interpolation - Advantages and Disadvantages, 2019). In this research, these can be achieved by using two know data points to generate the aircraft's position during the interruption periods. Both the Kalman filter and the interpolation method had its advantages and disadvantages. The first one is that the interpolation methods have more straightforward calculations because this method is generally only a form of mathematical

approximation calculation. On the other hand, the Kalman filter methods calculation is more complex in comparison with the interpolation calculation, because in this calculation, many factors that did not consider in the interpolation are considered here. Consequently, the interpolation result did not minimize the noise of the system contrarily; one of the main ideas of the Kalman filter calculation is to minimize the noise of the system.

#### 2.1 Flight Tracking

Flight tracking is a method of aircraft monitoring that involves a system used to observe aircraft during flight or on the ground. Flight tracking is essential for ATC (Air Traffic Controller) to managing airspace. The tracking system will help the ATC control aircraft separation, control aircraft flight path, and guide aircraft movement or other navigational needs of the aircraft. The ATC usually used a radar system as a tool to track and controlling aircraft movement. Radar or radio detection and ranging that used in UHF (Ultra High Frequency) or microwave part of the RF (Radio Frequency) spectrum to detect an object position (What is radar (radio detection and ranging)? - Definition from WhatIs.com, 2005). In general, Radar work by sending an electromagnetic signal through its transmitter to detect an object. When the signal hits an object, the signal will be bounced back Then the reflected signal will be detected by the radar receiver, which is then processed to determine the object's geographical position. The process used the time taken by the signal to travel from the Radar source to the object and return back to determining the distance between the Radar and the object. For determining the target's location is measured in angle, from the direction of the maximum amplitude echo signal to the antenna (RADAR - Introduction of RADAR Systems, Types and Applications, 2013). Another system that can be used to tracking aircraft is ADS-B or Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast. The ADS-B is a satellite-based monitoring system that uses GNSS (Global Navigation Satellite System) to determining aircraft position. In recent years, ADS-B has been chosen to be the replacement for Radar as the tracking or monitoring system for aircraft. The reason mainly because ADS-B is considered to be more reliable and accurate than Radar.

### $\frac{24}{2.2}$ Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast

Automatic Dependent Surveillance-Broadcast or ADS-B is a type of monitoring/navigation system used in air transportation. The system's primary purpose is to track aircraft movement that periodically updated every 0.5 seconds in optimal condition, or in other words, the most optimal interruption period is 0.5 seconds *Implementasi Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast (ADS-B) di Indonesia Nurhavati / WARTA ARDHIA*, 2014). The system can determine the aircraft's location by using GNSS (Global Navigation Satellite System) such as GPS (Global Positioning System). The system also sends other information that correlates with the aircraft's state, such as aircraft identification number (hex identification number), altitude, heading, and speed. This aircraft information will be transmitted to other aircraft that are also equipped with the ADS-B system and satellite or ground receiver, in which the data will be relayed to ATC (Air Traffic Control).



FIGURE 2.1: Schematic of ADS-B system (Calderwood, 2016)

Ideally, the ADS-B is an accurate system that can track an aircraft's trajectory and store that information every 0.5 seconds. However, in real-world implementation, the system cannot perform this perfectly. The system has noise or inaccuracy that can affect the accuracy of the system. Then the system may have a "signal

disturbance" that may affect the data transmission, which can prolong the interruption period. The noise/inaccuracy and prolong interruption time of the ADS-B system may vary between each time step. The magnitude of the noise was identified in the ADS-B message in the form of a quality indicator code. The quality indicator can be defined into several forms of codes; it depends on which version of the DO-260 standard the ADS-B system used. The variation of the quality indicator are:

NUC = Navigation Uncertainty
 NIC = Navigation Integrity Code
 SIL = Surveillance Integrity Level

Note: In this research, the only quality indicator variant that used is the NIC version. The reason for this is because it is offering much higher error detection, which is up to 30 km, and according to the FAA AC 20-165 mentioned that, NIC is

used by surveillance users such as ATC to determine if the data has an acceptable level of integrity. The minimal level requirement of the integrity level is NIC 8. The NIC is also chosen.

There are several factors that can affect the NIC level ("PAPRUsersGuide", 2020):

- Loss of GNSS services
- Antenna masking that caused by aircraft maneuver
- aircraft flying at the edge of ADS-B coverage
- Component/software issue
- Poor ADS-B signal

The NIC is divided into 12 codes, ranging from code 0 to code 11. Each code number is representing a different range of horizontal position accuracy. The following table 2.1 is the description of accuracy for code("PAPRUsersGuide", 2020).

For the system's vertical accuracy, the accuracy magnitude only available for NIC values greater than 8; in other words, the accuracy magnitude available in NIC levels 9 to 11. The magnitude of the vertical NIC can be seen on table 2.2.

| AIRCRAFT FLIGHT PATH RECONSTRUCTION | BASED ON ADS-B DATA USING |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| KALMAN FILTER                       |                           |

| NIC   | Accuracy                  |
|-------|---------------------------|
| Value | Value                     |
| 0     | Unknown                   |
| 1     | ${<}37.04~\mathrm{Km}$    |
| 2     | ${<}14.81~{\rm Km}$       |
| 3     | $<\!\!7.40~\mathrm{Km}$   |
| 4     | $<3.70~\mathrm{Km}$       |
| 5     | ${<}1852~\mathrm{m}$      |
| 6     | $< 926 \mathrm{~m}$       |
| 7     | $<\!\!370.4 \mathrm{\ m}$ |
| 8     | $<\!\!185.2 \text{ m}$    |
| 9     | $<\!75 \mathrm{m}$        |
| 10    | $<\!25 \mathrm{~m}$       |
| 11    | ${<}7.5~{\rm m}$          |

TABLE 2.1: NIC Value Horizontal Accuracy Radius

| NIC   | Accuracy             |
|-------|----------------------|
| Value | Value                |
| 9     | ${<}112~{\rm m}$     |
| 10    | ${<}37.5~\mathrm{m}$ |
| 11    | ${<}11~{\rm m}$      |

TABLE 2.2: NIC Value Veritcal Accuracy Radius



FIGURE 2.2: NIC Horizontal and Vertical Accuracy

#### 2.2.1 Transmission

ADS-B system used mode-s transponder to transmitting/updating aircraft movement that usually operates in 1090 MHz (Ins and Outs, 2020). In the US, the FAA (Federal Aviation Administration) want to establish for aircraft that operate below 18,000 feet (5,500 m) transmit the signal 987 MHz. The received ADS-B data can be used alongside the TIS-B (Traffic Information Services Broadcast) and FIS-B (Flight Information Services Broadcast) system. TIS-B is a component of the ADS-B system that provides traffic information for aircraft with ADS-B receiver. TIS-B also has the capability to tracking other aircraft that are not equipped with ADS-B transponder but tracked by other Radar. FIS-B, on the other hand, is a system that provides current airspace information such as graphical weather service and locations of restricted airspace. However, FIS-B information only can be received by aircraft that use 978 MHz UAT (Universal Access Transceiver) (How Does FIS-B (Flight Information System Broadcast) Work?, 2019). This process of transmitting and receiving ADS-B information is essentially based on two separate ADS-B systems: ADS-B In and ADS-B Out. ADS-B Out is the part of the ADS-B system that broadcasts aircraft information periodically, such as the aircraft speed, altitude, and location through an onboard transmitter. For the ADS-B In, the system received the other information that will be used for TIS-B and FIS-B system.

#### 2.2.2 ADS-B Implementation

In the time of writing, FAA and EASA regulatory bodies have a mandate that required aircraft to have an ADS-B system installed. Both regulatory bodies want to promote the use of the ADS-B system in other international airspaces, including Asia/Pacific, so they mandate this new regulation. This regulation aimed to obtain the great benefit that the ADS-B system is offering, making the airspace safer and more efficient. In 2010, FAA published a new requirement for aircraft that operate in certain conditions to have ADS-B out technology installed in the aircraft by 1st January 2020. This regulation was based on Title 14 of the US Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) sections 91.225 and 91.227. The regulation also required the ADS-B system to operate in US NAS to operate in 1090 and 978 MHz. As of

September 2017, the FAA already deploy an ADS-B ground station to support the ADS-B transmission and collected/relayed the collected ADS-B data.

For Europe, the regulation of ADS-B requirement that fly in the EU (European Union) air space is mandated in Regulation 1028/2014 and Regulation 2017/386. The regulation mandate, aircraft that operate in EU airspace that had criteria of fixed-wing aircraft with maximum take-off mass exceeding 5,700 kg or have maximum cruising true airspeed greater than 250 knots, will be required to have mode-s transponder and ADS-B out system installed by 7th June 2020. The regulation also mandates aircraft that will operate in the trans-Atlantic route to install the ADS-B Out system by 1st January 2020 to fill the FAA requirement fully. For required transmitted ADS-B data is base on EASA AMC 20-24 for ADS-B in Non-Radar Airspace or CS-ACNS for ADS-B out must include:

- Horizontal position of the aircraft (latitude/longitude)
- Barometric altitude of the aircraft (will be the same as for the SSR))
- Quality Indicator
- Aircraft Identification:
  - A unique 24-bit aircraft address
  - Identification of the aircraft
  - Mode A (In the case of CS ACNS for ADS-B Out)
- Emergency Status of the aircraft
- SPI (Special Position Indicator) when selected

In Indonesia, ADS-B research for ADS-B usage begins in 2007 that eventually produces a working ADS-B ground station equipment. The research aims to produce an ADS-B ground station equipment that can control air traffic around the airport and capable of detecting ADS-B out signal within 200 miles. As of 2016, the ADS-B ground station equipment already tested on Soekarno-Hatta international airport, which proving the equipment can detect aircraft within 250 Nm on 29,000 feet ("ADS-B alat navigasi penerbangan", 2016). Indonesia is reported to have

<sup>20</sup> ground station that located in Banda Aceh, Matak, Soekarno-Hatta, Pangalan Bun, Cilacap, Palembang, Pekanbaru, Medan, Pontianak, Kintamani Bali, Palu, Ambon, Saumlaki, Alor, Waingapu, Tarakan, Galela, Timika, Kendari, Manado, Natuna, Makasar, Kupang, Sorong, Merauke, Malino Banjarmasin, Balikpapan, Biak, Surabaya, and Semarang (*Implementasi Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast (ADS-B) di Indonesia | Nurhayati | WARTA ARDHIA*, 2014). Those ground stations are integrated into two separate ATM (Air Traffic Management) systems that controlling two different FIR (Flight Information Region), which are JAATS (Jakarta Automated Air Traffic Control System) and MAATS (Makassar Automated Air Traffic Control System). A conference in July 2018, DNP (Direktorat Navigasi Penerbangan) stated that starting in 1st January 2018 aircraft that operate in FL 290 to FL 600 is required to have ADS-B transmitter.



FIGURE 2.3: ADS-B ground station location in Indonesia

### 2.2.3 ADS-B Benefit

ADS-B is seen as the future of navigation system, which is proven by the United States that already made ADS-B as the primary system for upgrading and modernizing aviation infrastructure and operation. The program is called NextGen National Airspace Strategy that aims to replace the primary surveillance system from Radar to ADS-B. The reason is to improved safety and efficiency.

ADS-B can improve safety by increasing the situational awareness of the pilot. This is caused by the pilot have the ability to see clearer and detailed air traffic

around the aircraft from ADS-B data that the pilot received. The situational awareness of ATC also can be increase because of the same reason. ADS-B can also improve airspace usage efficiency because when the ATM uses the ADS-B system, it can reduce the separation standard between aircraft. The smaller separation can be enabled because ADS-B has a higher accuracy when determining an aircraft position, which leads to ATC can guide/track aircraft more accurately. These improvements were eventually increasing the capacity of an airspace.

#### 2.3 Flight Path Reconstruction

Flightpath reconstruction is a method that uses historical data set to determining aircraft conditions such as aircraft position and speed(Evans, Goodwin, Feik, Martin, & Lozano-Leal, 1985). This was done by using the data from onboard sensors such as inertial and air data sensors. The historical data set can also be obtained by other systems such as ADS-B.

#### 2.4 Interpolation

Interpolation is a data approximation method that will generate a new specific data point between the range of 2 or more known values. For example, a government is commencing a census of their population with ten years interval, however now the government wants to approximate the total population between each year between those two available data. So, those specific data approximation  $p_n(x) = f_n$  where pn is interpolation, x is nodes, and f is the mathematical function which then we can use pn to get f value between two point of x.

Note: Interpolation method is only used to approximates value between two points; if the desired value is outside the range, it is called extrapolation.

For solving the interpolation problem, we can use a method of Lagrange interpolation. The method itself has two different approaches: linear interpolation and quadratic interpolation.

For Linear interpolation, the new value of pn will make a straight line between the known  $valuex_0$  and  $x_1$ . The linear Lagrange polynomial can be express by:

$$p_1 = L_0 f_0 + L_1 f_1 \tag{2.1}$$

Where L is,

$$L_0(x) = \frac{x - x_1}{x_0 - x_1}, L_1(x) = \frac{x - x_0}{x_1 - x_0}$$
(2.2)

For the quadratic interpolation the new value of pn will made the quadratic line between the known value. The quadratic value of second degree polynomial can be acquired by:

$$p_1 = L_0 f_0 + L_1 f_1 + L_2 f_2 \tag{2.3}$$

Where L is,

$$L_0(x) = \frac{(7-x_1)(x-x_2)}{(x_0-x_1)(x_0-x_2)}, L_1(x) = \frac{(x-x_0)(x-x_2)}{(x_1-x_0)(x_1-x_2)}, L_2(x) = \frac{(x-x_0)(x-x_1)}{(x_2-x_0)(x_2-x_1)}$$
(2.4)

The interpolation method can be used if the value of pn is expected to unstable or fluctuated, which is called Spline. In general we can say that the generated pnbetween  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  can be bigger or smaller than the  $p_1$  and  $p_0$ .



FIGURE 2.4: Spline Interpolation Example (KREYSZIG, 2010)

Based on the figure above, we can see that interpolation can have a more accurate value when it uses the Spline method while approximating fluctuate/unstable data.

Then there is another interpolation method called PCHIP or Piecewise Cubic Hermit interpolation Polynomial. PCHIP interpolation can be interpreted as a

shape-preserving piecewise cubic Hermite polynomial approach that will determine the slop from a function so that the generated value does not overshoot the data values(*pchip function* / *R Documentation*, 2020). PCHIP interpolation is also the opposite nature of Spline, where the PCHIP will maintaining the monotonicity of x and y value.

#### 2.5 Kalman Filter

Kalman Filter is a type of estimation algorithm that uses a set of equations and a series of measurements observed over time, which have a noise (disturbance) in the measurement that can produce estimates of previously hidden variables. In Kalman Filter, the calculation process can be separated into 3 parts, which are measurement, prediction, and estimation.



FIGURE 2.5: Kalman Filter Algorithm(Becker (www.kalmanfilter.net), 2018a)

#### 2.5.1 Measurement

First of all, in the Kalman filter, the measurement value that was taken is always considered to have an error. In other words, we can not know the exact value or true value of a measurement. In Kalman filter, every measurement always contains

a random variable resulting in an error in the measurement. These will lead to varying results of the measurement value. However, in Kalman filter we always assume that the measurement always forms a normal distribution. These mean that the true value of the measurement is close to the repeated measurement's mean or average value. Although this condition is not always applied to every system, because in the real world, the measurement tool not always had high accuracy measurement. If the system has high accuracy measurement, then the repeated measurement's mean value is close with the true value, this type of system is called a unbias system. On the other hand, if the system had low accuracy, then the mean value and the true value have an enormous difference; this type of system is called bias system.

In the Kalman filter there 2 types of models that can describe the whole system. First, there is a dynamic model, which mean that the system true value is changing over time, for example, if Kalman filter is used in moving aircraft case. The second one is constant dynamic model, which means the system, in reality, has a constant true value, for example, if we measured the height of a building.

As mentioned previously, in real-world cases, we do not know the true value of a system, and that every measurement always had an error caused by the random variable. The Kalman filter calculation objective is to minimize error or uncertainty in the measurement or referred to as covariance, by doing multiple iterations of the calculation. If the covariance is minimized, then the measured value should be close with the "true value". The initial measurement usually referred to as initial estimate, the estimate is denoted by  $\hat{x}_{n,n}$  the first *n* is for the iteration number of the prediction, and the second *n* is for the first number of the estimate, so the initial estimate is  $\hat{x}_{0,0}$ . The covariance is denoted by  $\hat{P}_{n,n}$ 

#### 2.5.2 Prediction

The usage of the Kalman filter can be divided into two models. The first one is onedimensional Kalman filter. Which are used for single dimensional measurement; for example, the Kalman filter is used for measuring the temperature of an object. The other model is a multi-dimensional Kalman filter used for the system that multi-dimensional measurement. This model was used in this research because a 3-dimensional system was taken into consideration; that is mean the position,
speed, and acceleration in x, y, z axis. The model needs to be determined because the single-dimensional and multi-dimensional calculations used a different set of equations. The significant difference between the two models is that the equation was calculated in matrix form when calculating the multi-dimensional Kalman filter.

As have been mention in the previous section the first measurement of a system in called initial estimation. This initial estimation is consider to be starting point of the Kalman filter calculation. The initial estimate of a system is also have the covariance which both of this value will be calculated in the prediction state. Then all known value is combine in state extrapolation which is:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\boldsymbol{n}+1,\boldsymbol{n}} = \boldsymbol{F}\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}} + \boldsymbol{G}\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}} + \boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$$
(2.5)

Where,

 $\hat{x}_{n+1,n}$  is the predicted system vector at time step n+1

 $\hat{x}_{n,n}$  is the current estimate system vector/initial estimate (State matrix)  $\hat{u}_{n,n}$  is the control/input variable which is a measurable input to the system  $w_n$  is process noise, which is an un-measurable input that affects the state F is state transition matrix

 $\stackrel{4}{G}$  is a control matrix or input transition matrix (mapping control to state

variable) In the changing dynamic model, the  $\hat{u}$  is a variable that affecting the state matrix. For example, an aircraft that moves in x, y, z axis, and has speed in all

matrix. For example, an aircraft that moves in x, y, z axis, and has speed in all of those axes will have an input variable in the form of acceleration. Then the Fand G is a transition matrix that converts the variable of state and input matrix to compatible value so both matrices can be calculated. If the Kalman filter is used for a constant dynamic model the input variable can be considered as 0. In general, the purpose of the state extrapolation equation is to predict the state of the object after a certain time. For example, if we know the position, speed and acceleration of an aircraft, we can predict aircraft position and aircraft speed after a certain time ( $\Delta t$ ). In the prediction state, the covariance of a system need also to be predicted by using:

$$\boldsymbol{P}_{n+1,n} = \boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{P}_{n,n} \boldsymbol{F}^T + \boldsymbol{Q} \tag{2.6}$$

Where,

 $P_{n,n}$  is estimate uncertainty (covariance) of the current state

 $P_{n+1,n}$  is the updated estimate uncertainty

F is the state transition matrix (Same matrix that used in state extrapolation) Q is the process noise matrix

In general, the purpose of the equation 2.6 is to readjust the parameter of the covariance matrix  $(\mathbf{P}_{n,n})$  so it can be calculated in the equation.

#### 2.5.3 Estimation

In the last stage of Kalman filter calculation, we get the prediction value of an object for the next measurement. For example, in moving aircraft, we can get the prediction of the aircraft position after a specific time. However, this prediction still had a noise that made the prediction value not accurate. Previously, this noise is defined as a random variable resulting in an error in the prediction; as mentioned before, Kalman filter's goal is to minimize this error, which will be done in this stage.

The first thing to be done in this stage is to know the "actual" measurement value of the object after a certain time that can be obtained by performing the second measurement. If the second measurement is already obtained, then the measurement needs to be converted with an equation that made the measurement value compatible with the Kalman filter equation. This conversion equation is known as Measurement equation:

$$\boldsymbol{z_n} = \boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{x_n} + \boldsymbol{v_n} \tag{2.7}$$

Where,

 $\boldsymbol{z_n}$  is the measurement vector

 $\boldsymbol{x_n}$  is the system state/measurement value

 $\boldsymbol{v_n}$  is random noise

H is the observation matrix

The purpose of the H is to transform the state matrix or the measurement value into the desire compatible matrix that can be calculated with other parameters.

In general the next thing to be done in this phase is to comparing the result of the prediction of the system state  $x_{n,n}$  with the "actual" measurement of the system state  $z_n$ . This comparison will resulting more accurate estimation of the system state. In actuality the comparison need an additional parameter that act as a correctional value that basically tell how much adjustment the system need so it can produce more accurate estimation. This adjustment parameter or commonly called kalman gain can be obtain by using:

$$\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{n}} = \frac{\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}-1}\boldsymbol{H}^{T}}{\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}-1}\boldsymbol{H}^{T} + \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}$$
(2.8)

Where,

 $K_n$  is Kalman gain

 $\boldsymbol{P}_{n,n-1}$  is the previous covariance of the system

 $\boldsymbol{R_n}$  is the measurement uncertainty/error

The Kalman gain is then used as a variable that controls the trade-off between the prediction of future values and the observation of current values. In other words, the Kalman gain tells how much we want to change the estimate by given measurement and prediction. The value of Kalman gain is only range between 0 and 1 or  $0 \le K_n \le 1$ . So the Kalman gain is used in the comparison equation between the prediction and "actual" measurement equation or also known as state update equation, which is:

$$\hat{x}_{n,n} = \hat{x}_{n,n-1} + K_n \left( z_n - H \hat{x}_{n,n-1} \right)$$
(2.9)

where,  $\hat{x}_{n,n}$  is the new estimated state vector

 $\hat{x}_{n,n-1}$  is the current predicted system state vector

 $K_n$  is kalman gain

 $\boldsymbol{H}$  is the observation matrix

 $\boldsymbol{z_n}$  is the measurement vector

Intuitively if we get more accurate value of the state system, the value of the covariance should be decreasing. To obtaining the new estimation value of the covariance we can use the covariance update equation:

$$\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}} = \left(\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{H}\right) \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}-1} \tag{2.10}$$

Where,

 $P_{n,n}$  is estimate uncertainty (covariance) matrix of the current sate

I is identity matrix

 $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}-1}$  is the previous covariance of the system

 $\mathbf{K}_{n}$  is kalman gain

In general, the value of covariance should always be decreasing if the Kalman filter calculation is conducted continuously. Suppose the covariance is continuously decreasing with every calculation iteration. In that case, the value of the Kalman gain should also be decreasing because if the error/covariance is smaller, then the adjustment value(Kalman gain value) that was added in state update equation also becomes smaller.

If the new state estimation and new covariance are have been obtained, both of the values then inserted again to the prediction phase. This loop of prediction and estimation calculation is continuously done until the last measurement value is calculated. This looping calculation can be described as:



FIGURE 2.6: Kalman filter calculation loop (Becker (www.kalmanfilter.net), 2018b)

### CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

#### 3.1 Data Acquisition

The data set used in this research was obtained from opensky-network.org. Specifically, this research used the data from March 9<sup>th</sup> 2020. Although Opensky-network offers other data set from different dates since the website adds new data once a week, this research only used the March 9<sup>th</sup> 2020 data as the case study. In the Opensky-network website, the March 9<sup>th</sup> 2020 data set is divided hourly from 00 to 24 based on GMT+0 time zone. This research only used the data from 00 to 10 because of the hardware limitation to store and read the data set. The data set is downloaded in the form of a zip file containing a CSV file of the ADS-B data. The data set from Opensky-network had several parameters, including:

- Time
   Onground
- Icao24 ID Al
- lat (Lattitude)
- lon (Longitude)
- Velocity
- Heading
- Vertrate
- Callsign

- Onground
- Alert/SPI
- Squawk
- Baroaltitude
- Geoaltitude
- lastposupdate
- lastcontact

However, in this research, only 9 data parameters were used. Which are:

- Time: The timestamp of the data point is based on GMT+0 timezone, but the timestamp is in form of UNIX timestamp. The timestamp includes the date, month, year, hour, minute, and second description.
- Icao24 ID: Containing a unique 24-bit ICAO transponder ID that is used to differentiate aircraft/airframe.
- lat (Latitude): Geological latitude coordinate that is based on WGS84.
- lon (Longitude): Geological longitude coordinate that is based on WGS84.
- Velocity: Containing the magnitude of aircraft speed over ground in m/s(Meters per Seconds)
- Heading: Containing the direction of movement (track angle) as the clockwise angle from the geographic north.
- Vertrate: Containing the vertical speed of the aircraft in m/s
- Onground: Indicates whether the aircraft is broadcasting surface positions or airborne positions. If the aircraft is on the ground, it will show as True, and if the aircraft is airborne, it will be shown as False.
- Geoaltitude: Containing the altitude that determined using the GNSS (GPS) sensor

After extracting all the CSV files from the zip file, it needed to be merged into 1 file. The reason is to make it easier to process all the data. To merge the CSV files, this research used Python programming language. Several libraries need to be used, which are *os* and *glob* library. The code that used to merge the file are as follow:

```
#Determining the location of the file
path = 'C:\\Users\yttbk\.spyder-py3\Opensky'
extension = 'csv'
os.chdir(path)
#combining the csv file with a loop
all_filenames = [i for i in glob.glob('*.{}'.format(extension))]
```

```
7 combined_csv = pd.concat([pd.read_csv(f) for f in all_filenames ])
```

s #export to csv

9 combined\_csv.to\_csv( "combined\_csv.csv", index=False,

```
10 encoding='utf-8-sig')
```

After the merger, the total data point in the data set is 18855925. However, this file covering all data from all over the world, and both aircraft that still on the ground and airborne. In conducting the FPR with Kalman filter and interpolation, the calculation needs only need one aircraft that already airborne.

To filter the data set to the desire condition, first, the data point that contained on the ground aircraft needs to be removed. To accomplish this, the "onground" parameter needs to be filtered; if the data has a "True" value, the data point was removed. This can be done by using:

#### df[df['onground'].isin([false])]

Those codes mean that if the column "onground" did not have "False" value, it was removed. After initiating this code, the total data point decreases to 17117696.

Then to simplified the filtration, the data need to be filtered by region/country. For this research, the aircraft that fly in the US was used. The data set need to be filtered again, so aircraft that did not fly in the US were removed. To accomplish that in Python, the data set can be filtered by the range of the latitude and longitude of the United States of America or filtered by the maximum and minimum of the US's geographical coordinate. The latitude range is from 19.50139 to 64.85694, and the longitude is from -161.75583 to -68.01197(*United States latitude and longitude*, 2015). Then those coordinate range will be used as the base value of the filtration in Python. The code to filter the coordinate range is:

```
1 df = df[(df['lat'] >= 19.50139) & (df['lat'] <= 64.85694)]
2 df = df[(df['lon'] >= -161.75583) & (df['lon'] <= -68.01197)]</pre>
```

After the filtration, there only 6009740 data point left. From this 6009740, there are 9719 unique aircraft listed on the data set. So, another filtration is needed to filter one unique aircraft. To done that, another line of code is needed to filter one unique ICAO24 ID. These codes are:

#### 3.2 Data analysis

In this research, two types of analysis methods are conducted, which are interpolation and Kalman filter. The analysis aimed to produce the estimated position of the aircraft with reduced noise/inaccuracy and the position of the aircraft between periodical updates or, in other words, interruption/intermission periods. In the interpolation method, there are three different types of interpolation that were conducted in this thesis. The first one is linear interpolation, spline interpolation, and PCHIP (Piecewise Cubic Hermite interpolating Polynomial) interpolation.



FIGURE 3.1: Example of 3 Differents Interpolation Methods(Aircraft displacement overtime)

Note: The figure 3.1 is an example of data of an aircraft displacement overtime which have been interpolate with 3 different interpolation methods.

#### 3.2.1 Interpolation

Linear interpolation is a type of interpolation that creates a new value, which made a continuous straight line (shortest straight line) between each data point, which



FIGURE 3.2: Algorithm of Trajectory Interpolation

means have a lesser quality of data. In this thesis, the Python programming was used the *scipy* library to produce all three different interpolation approaches. In the *scipy* library, linear interpolation can be immediately performed between the value of latitude and longitude (x and y coordinate, respectively). However, the PCHIP and spline interpolation method can not be performed directly because the *scipy* method needs one of the values of longitude or latitude that represent the x-axis to be a monotonic increasing value. This means that the x-axis value does not have any repetitive value and always increasing value along the x-axis. That is why the *scipy* library cannot directly be implemented because it is impossible to assume an aircraft always has this trajectory pattern.

To overcome this problem, there should be a new value that needs to be introduced, which had the monotonic increasing value and also represent the exact order of the coordinate movement. The value happens to be the timestamp data of the data points which is represented by time description in the data set. The

timestamp value is intended to replace the x value in the interpolation so that one of the axes still maintained a monotonic increasing value. As a result of that, the interpolation calculation was separated into two sections. The first one is for the time and longitude as x and y. The second section is for the time and latitude as x and y. Although the linear interpolation does not need this procedure, it is important to make sure the result is comparable to each other, in order to uniformize the procedure.

As mentioned before, the interpolation needs to be conducted separately, but the code/procedure is almost the same; the difference only occurs when the longitude and latitude value are called. Before using the Scipy library to commencing interpolation between the time and coordinate. The timestamp value format needs to be changed. From the original UNIX time format to conventional format. This format is consists of year, month, date, hour, minute, and second. This was done so that in the analyzation process, the result becomes more readable for human. To perform this process, this research uses the *pandas* library. The overall code to do this is:

#### 1 time\_date = pd.to\_datetime[df{'time'}]

However, the *scipy* library did not recognize the conventional time format. So, the format needs to be converted again to numerical format. From datetime format to numerical format using matplotlib.mdate library.

#### time\_num = mdates.date2num(time\_date)}

Then the total of new points or nodes needed to be declared, which was made in the interpolation processes. To maximize the detail in the result and the hardware limitation, the total of new points is 10 million. The new point can be made by declaring the start and final value, and then the program will made 10 million values between the start and finish. The start and final value can be determined by the minimal and maximum value of time data. The new point/node can then be made by using NumPy library.

```
timeinterpnum = np.linspace(min(timenum), max(timenum), 10000000)
```

After that, Interpolation can be done between each coordinate of latitude and longitude. All of the Interpolation can be done using Scipy.interpolate library. For linear interpolation:

```
1 latiinterplin = interpolate.interp1d(timenum, lati)
```

```
2 latiinterplin = latiinterplin(timeinterpnum)
```

Note: For latitude

```
{longinterplin = interpolate.interp1d(timenum, long)}
```

```
2 {longinterplin = longinterplin(timeinterpnum)}
```

Note: For longitude

For spline interpolation, it needs to replace all the interp1d to InterpolatedUnivariateSpline. The difference between linear and Spline interpolation is that it will create an interpolation of a new point that will be made a cubic line between existing data points ( the new point will have value outside the range of the existing data value).

For PCHIP interpolation replace all the inretp1d with pchip. Also, for this interpolation, it will create an interpolation of a new point that will be made into a smooth curve line between existing data points( the new point will have value outside the range of the existing data value). This interpolation approach's general idea is to mimic the real aircraft movement when turning, hence the benefit of a smooth curve interpolation generate.

After all interpolation approach has been done, the result was plotted. To plot the result, *matplotlib* library is used. The plot is made with the original longitude and latitude with the combination of the interpolated longitude and interpolated latitude. For the exact magnitude difference, this research is using MSE(Mean Square Error). The Formula of MSE can be written as:

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \tilde{y}_i)^2$$
(3.1)

#### 3.2.2 Kalman Filter

Before calculating using the Kalman filter. The data parameters must be adjusted. The adjustment must be made because, in Kalman filter, the calculation is



FIGURE 3.3: Algorithm of Kalman Filter Calculation

computed in vector form. In order to fulfill those criteria, the velocity parameter that still in the scalar form must be converted into a 3-dimensional vector form. The vector velocity was consisting of  $v_x$ ,  $v_y$ , and  $v_z$  that correspond to velocity in latitude axis, velocity in longitude axis, and the vertical velocity. Although the vertical velocity is obtainable from the ADS-B data's vertrate parameter, the  $v_x$  and  $v_y$  must be calculated. To converting the scalar form, it will use:

$$v_x = vsin(c)$$
  
 $v_y = vcos(c)$ 

where,

v is velocity

c is the direction of movement of the aircraft as the clockwise angle from the geographic north

In the Python programming language using *numpy* library, the code will be:

```
1 Vx = df['velocity'] * np.cos(df['heading']) #Speed in X axis (m/s)
2 Vy = df['velocity'] * np.sin(df['heading']) #Speed in Y axis (m/s)
3 Vz = df['vertrate'] #Speed in Z axis (m/s)
```

In this Kalman filter calculation, the input variable will be using the acceleration of the aircraft. However, in the ADS-B data, the acceleration data is unavailable. So, an additional calculation is needed. To find the acceleration of the aircraft, we

can use the velocity difference  $(\Delta v)$  and time difference  $(\Delta t)$  between data points. In the mathematical form it will be shown as:

$$a = \frac{v_2 - v_1}{t_2 - t_1} = \frac{\Delta v}{\Delta t}$$

23 where,

```
a is acceleration in m/s^2
v is scalar velocity in m/s
t is time in second s
```

In python programming language the time difference can be find by using:

```
time_diff = (df['time'] - df['time'].shift())
time_diff = pd.Series(pd.to_timedelta(time_diff))
time_diff = time_diff.dt.total_seconds() #time_difference in second
df['time_difference'] = time_diff #declaring new data set in the data frame
```

Then after the time difference is obtained, the acceleration can be found and the vector acceleration can be found using the same method as the vector velocity. In python programming language the code will be:

```
Ac = (df['velocity'] - df['velocity'].shift()) / df['time_difference']
Ax = Ac * np.cos(df['heading'])
Ay = Ac * np.sin(df['heading'])
Az = ((df['vertrate'] - df['vertrate'].shift()) / df['time_difference'])
```

Then the coordinate position of the aircraft needs to be changed so that it was compatible with other variables. The coordinate represents the x, y, and z vector, which is latitude, longitude, and altitude were changed into the Earth-center Earth-fixed coordinate system or known as ECEF. The ECEF is a type of conventional terrestrial coordinate system that determines its position by measuring the distance between the position to the center of the earth. The ECEF will be combining the distance to the center of the earth with the degrees of latitude and longitude. Unlike the Geographic coordinate system that determines the position from the degrees displacement from the equator for determining the latitude and degrees displacement from the Greenwich point for determining the longitude.



FIGURE 3.4: Geographic coordinate system (Preprocessing: Calculate the Distance Between Longitude and Latitude Points with a Function | by The Data Detective | Towards Data Science, 2019)



FIGURE 3.5: ECEF coordinate system (*ECEF(Earth-Centered Earth-Fixed Frame*) Coordinate, 2016)

To convert the coordinate system, a python library was used. The library is *pymap3d*. The library only needs input from the original position coordinate, consisting of latitude, longitude, and altitude. By using the geodetic2ecef command in the library, the conversion was initialized. The code is done in a loop so that all coordinate for every timestamp are converted:

1 lat = (df3['lat'])

2 lon = (df3['lon'])

h = df3['geoaltitude']

```
x_coor,y_coor,z_coor = [], [], []
```

6 x\_coor,y\_coor,z\_coor = pm.geodetic2ecef(lat,lon,h)

In order to calculate the Kalman Filter with Python programming, this research will use *filterpy* library. In general, the library consisting of 2 step process just like the actual Kalman Filter calculation. The first one is the predicted step, and the second one is the updated step. In the predicted step, the calculation of the state extrapolation and covariance extrapolation was done to produce the future prediction of the aircraft state. Then in the updated stage, the Kalman gain, state update, and covariance update equation were calculated to produce more accurate information of the current aircraft state. However, before the Cartesian variable is inputted in the filterpy, the matrix form for the equation need to be defined.

The *filterpy* library already providing a command that enabling the user to input the parameter separately. However, the *filterpy* can only compute a system that has two dimensions. For example, the position of x and y axis with its speed components. So the calculation will be conducted in 2 separate forms. The first one will be calculating the x and y axis component, and the second will be calculating the z axis component. The x and y component calculation will be referred as kf1 and the z component calculation will be referred as kf2.

The parameter that will be inputted in the first calculation(x and y axis) are: State system  $x_n$  using KalmanFilter.x command:

$$kf1 = \boldsymbol{x_n} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ V_x \\ V_y \end{bmatrix} \qquad \qquad kf2 = \boldsymbol{x_n} = \begin{bmatrix} z \\ V_z \end{bmatrix} \qquad (3.2)$$

Note: x, y and z is the coordinate in ECEF form.

State transition matrix  $\boldsymbol{F}$  using KalmanFilter.F command:

$$kf1 = \mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & dt & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & dt \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \qquad kf2 = \mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & dt \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.3)

Note: dt is the delta time between the current timestamp and future timestamp.

Input variable  $\boldsymbol{u}$  using KalmanFilter.u command:

$$kf1 = \boldsymbol{u_n} = \begin{bmatrix} a_x \\ a_y \end{bmatrix} \qquad \qquad kf2 = \boldsymbol{u_n} = \begin{bmatrix} a_z \end{bmatrix} \qquad (3.4)$$

Note:  $a_x$ ,  $a_y$  and  $a_z$  is the acceleration parameters.

Input transition variable  $\boldsymbol{B}$  using KalmanFilter.B command:

$$kf1 = \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} dt^2/2 & 0\\ 0 & dt^2/2\\ dt & 0\\ 0 & dt \end{bmatrix} \qquad kf2 = \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} dt^2/2 & 0\\ 0 & dt \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.5)

Covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{P}_n$  using KalmanFilter.P command:

$$kf1 = \mathbf{P_n} = \begin{bmatrix} VAR(x) & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & VAR(y) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & COV(V_x, V_x) & COV(V_x, V_y)\\ 0 & 0 & COV(V_y, V_x) & COV(V_y, V_y) \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.6)

$$kf2 = \boldsymbol{P_n} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} VAR(z) & dt \\ 0 & VAR(V_z) \end{array} \right]$$

Note: VAR(x), VAR(y) and VAR(z) is the square of GPS accuracy, this research will use 20 meter(*Global Positioning System (GPS) in Aviation / Aircraft Systems*, 2017). The *COV* section means that the velocity of one vector was multiplied with other vectors. The velocity of every vector is the same, which is 4 knot or 2.05778 m/s(*Civil Aviation Order 108.56 Instrument 2007*, 2007). The *VAR* means that the noise of a vector does not affect one another, which the opposite of the *COV* the noise in every vector is effecting others vector. Hence why the position is using *COV* or, in other words, is covariance except the  $V_z$ because it does not calculate with others vector velocity and the position using *VAR* or variance.

measurement matrix  $\boldsymbol{H}$  using KalmanFilter.H command:

$$kf1 = \boldsymbol{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \qquad kf2 = \boldsymbol{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (3.7)$$

Measurement covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{R}$  using KalmanFilter.R command:

$$kf1 = \mathbf{R_n} = \begin{bmatrix} VAR(x_m) & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & VAR(y_m) & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & COV(V_x, V_x) & COV(V_x, V_y) \\ 0 & 0 & COV(V_y, V_x) & COV(V_y, V_y) \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.8)  
$$kf2 = \mathbf{R_n} = \begin{bmatrix} VAR(z_m) & dt \\ \mathbf{0} & VAR(V_z) \end{bmatrix}$$

Note:  $x_m$ ,  $y_m$  and  $z_m$  is based on the accuracy indicator or NIC value. It needs to be noted that these values can fluctuate between each iteration.

For the process noise matrix, Q is not used in the calculation because of the unavailability of the data parameter.

Usually, the ADS-B data have the quality indicator value that consists of NIC,

NACp, or SIL, but the data set provided by OpenSky-network did not have it. So, in this research, the quality indicator is generated by using python code. Considering this research use the NIC value as the bases for the quality indicator, the magnitude of the inaccuracy or noise was based on NIC value.

The NIC value is generated randomly with predetermine probability. Only the maximum value of each NIC value will be used in value generation. So, the probability of each NIC value is:

| NIC<br>Value | Probability |
|--------------|-------------|
| 0            | 2.39%       |
| 1            | 0.01%       |
| 2            | 0.01%       |
| 3            | 0.07%       |
| 4            | 0.01%       |
| 5            | 0.01%       |
| 6            | 0.01%       |
| 7            | 0.01%       |
| 8            | 0.08%       |
| 9            | 42.92%      |
| 10           | 50.59%      |
| 11           | 3.93%       |

TABLE 3.1: NIC Value Probability (Tesi & Pleninger, 2017)

Note: For the NIC 0 the horizontal accuracy is supposed to be unknown, but in this research, the horizontal accuracy of the NIC 0 will be 20 meters. For the vertical accuracy of the NIC value, less than 8 the 20-meter magnitude also will be used.

Then the equation process can be start by initiating an predict and update command in looping sequence for every timestamp:

```
1 #for kf1
```

- <sup>2</sup> for i in range(count):
- 3 pos = Measurement[i]
- $_4$  z = pos
- 5 kf1.predict ()

```
kf1.update (z)
6
   xs.append (kf1.x[0,0])
   ys.append (kf1.x[1,0])
   vxs.append (kf1.x[2,0])
9
   vys.append (kf1.x[3,0])
10
   pxs.append (pos[0])
11
   pys.append(pos[1])
12
   #for kf2
1
   for i in range(count):
   pos2 = Measurement2[i]
з
   z = pos2
4
   kf2.predict ()
   kf2.update (z)
   kzs.append (kf2.x[0,0])
   vzs.append (kf2.x[1,0])
   pzs.append (pos2[0])
9
  pvz.append(pos2[1])
10
```

The resulting new estimate coordinate is still in the ECEF form, so it needed to be reconverted to geodetic form. The reason for this change is to compare the original data and new estimated data. To accomplish that task, a method of determining the data difference is needed. This research will be using the MSE (Mean Squared Error) method.

The reconverted coordinate also plotted using *basemap* library. So that the actual aircraft trajectory of estimated data from Kalman filter and original ADS-B data can be visualized in the geodetic format. The original result of the ECEF coordinate also will be plotted with *matplotlib* library, so that the difference between original ECEF ADS-B coordinate and Kalman filter data can be analyzed.

### CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 4.1 Interpolation Result

As mentioned before, in the previous chapter, the interpolation result was plotted with the original ADS-B data. This research was intended to create four different plots. Three of them contain a single interpolation and original data, and one of them contains all available data (all interpolation results and original ADS-B data). This was intended to visualize the result and visualize the comparison between the interpolation data and original ADS-B data.



FIGURE 4.1: Linear Interpolation

As mention before the figure 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4 is the result of comparison between interpolation data and ADS-B data. Originally the ADS-B data has 1119 timestamp or, in other words, 1119 data points. If all the original ADS-B data





FIGURE 4.2: Spline Interpolation



FIGURE 4.3: PCHIP Interpolation

points are used, each data point's separation is unseen able. To overcome this, the plot only shows a data point in 50 data point intervals, or only the  $50^{\text{th}}$  data point is shown. To make each data point more visible in the plot, this research uses the scatter plot mode in the *matplotlib* library.



FIGURE 4.4: Combination of All Interpolation

As mentioned in the previous chapter, originally, there is the sum of the total original data point plus 10 million new data points created in interpolation calculation. So, in general, there are 10 million and 1119 data points that were created in the interpolation calculation. This resulted in 10 million new data points are filling in the timestamp that was not covered in the original ADS-B data. As a result of that, the delta time between each data point becomes smaller. In order to visualize the smaller time interval between each data point as seen in figure 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4, this research used the line plot when using *matplotlib* library. This was aimed to resemble the continuous aircraft trajectory during flight, or in other words, it will resemble the interpolation data that does not have data point intervals.

As seen in figure 4.4 that the interpolation result between 3 interpolation methods did not have a visual difference as expected to see in the example in the figure 3.1. The reason is that the small periodical separation in the original data is not big enough that eventually made the graph indifference. The average delta time in this data example is 10 seconds.

Then, an analysis of the magnitude difference between interpolation data and ADS-B data is needed. For this analysis, this research will use the MSE method. To

be able to conduct this analysis first, both data need to have matching timestamp value. To do this, the interpolation data need to filter. This aims to remove data points that did not have a matching timestamp with the original ADS-B data. After that is done, then the analysis can begin. The MSE calculation was done in 2 separate forms. The first one is for the MSE between latitude and longitude coordinate of interpolation data and original ADS-B data. The second one is for distance difference in meter unit between each coordinate.

| MSE of<br>Coordinate Difference             | MSE (degree)         |
|---|----------------------|
| Latitude of Linear Interpolation and ADS-B  | 0                    |
| Latitude of Spline Interpolation and ADS-B  | $5.46\times10^{-28}$ |
| Latitude of PCHIP Interpolation and ADS-B   | 0                    |
| Longitude of Linear Interpolation and ADS-B | 0                    |
| Longitude of Spline Interpolation and ADS-B | $5.46\times10^{-28}$ |
| ongitude of PCHIP Interpolation and ADS-B   | 0                    |

| Table $4.1$ : | MSE of | Latitude | and | Longitude |
|---------------|--------|----------|-----|-----------|
|---------------|--------|----------|-----|-----------|

Ι

| MSE of Distance                     | MSE $(m^2)$          |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
| ADS-B Data and Linear Interpolation | 0                    |
| ADS-B Data and Spline Interpolation | $2.74\times10^{-18}$ |
| ADS-B Data and PCHIP Interpolation  | 0                    |

TABLE 4.2: MSE of Distance

As seen in table 4.1 and 4.2 the value MSE is small. As a result of the MSE is above  $10 \times 10^{-14}$  the error can be ignored. So, in other words, the resulting interpolation coordinate has no difference with the original ADS-B data. However, it needed to remember that in reality, both values still had a noise or inaccuracy because, in the interpolation calculation, the noise and inaccuracy are not taken into consideration during the calculation.



FIGURE 4.5: MSE of Latitude and Longitude Over Time





#### 4.2 Kalman Filter Result

The original result of the Kalman filter data is still in the ECEF format. The Kalman filter calculation result is then plotted into two different graphs: latitude

and longitude comparison between ADS-B data and estimated data(Kalman filter result) and the graph of the comparison altitude over time between ADS-B data and estimated data. To make the data point interval more visible, the graph only displays 1 data point every 50 available data points for both estimated data and the original ADS-B data Both data was plotted using scatter mode. To make it easier to visualize the difference between ADS-B data and estimated data, the scatter plot's size is increased. As the plot results still use the ECEF form, the latitude, longitude, and altitude are still in vector form using the meter unit.



FIGURE 4.7: Latitude and Longitude Trajectory

As seen in figure 4.8 and 4.8, there is a small deviation between the estimated data and ADS-B data. To measure those position difference, MSE calculation is conducted. The result of the MSE calculation are displayed on table 4.3.

The result of MSE in the original coordinate of the latitude and longitude form also needs to be calculated. This was aimed to calculating actual position difference, which was not influenced by other factors. To accomplish that, this research reconverting the coordinate using the pymap3d library. After the reconversion, both coordinates can be plotted using *basemap* library so that the visualization of the aircraft trajectory is straightforward. The result of the *basemap* plot and MSE result can be seen in the figure 4.9 and table 4.4.





FIGURE 4.8: Altitude Trajectory

| MSE of<br>Distance                            | MSE $(m^2)$        |
|---|--------------------|
| Latitude of Estimated ECEF and<br>ADS-B ECEF  | $2.55 \times 10^6$ |
| Longitude of Estimated ECEF and<br>ADS-B ECEF | $2.35\times10^6$   |
| Altitude of Estimated ECEF and<br>ADS-B ECEF  | $2.80\times10^3$   |
| TABLE 4.3: MSE of ECEF Coor                   | dinate             |

| MSE of<br>Distance                  | MSE (degree)         |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Latitude of Estimated and<br>ADS-B  | $9.24\times10^{-5}$  |
| Longitude of Estimated and<br>ADS-B | $3.42\times 10^{-4}$ |

| TABLE | 4.4: | MSE     | of    | Earth   | Coordinate |
|-------|------|---------|-------|---------|------------|
| TUDUU |      | TATE TT | - O I | L'ou on | Coordinate |

To make it easier to assess each data's actual difference, this research implemented another MSE calculation for using the distance using the meter unit. The



FIGURE 4.9: Aircraft Trajectory

distance between coordinates in each data point can be calculated using *geopy* library and using the *vincenty* command. The result of MSE distance using meter unit can be seen in the tabel 4.5.

| MSE of<br>Distance                             | MSE $(m^2)$          |
|--|----------------------|
| Difference Between<br>Estimated and ADS-B Data | $1.10 \times 10^{5}$ |

TABLE 4.5: MSE of Earth Coordinate in  $m^2$ 

It needs to remember that, theoretically, Kalman filter is always assumed to be more accurate. This is because, in the Kalman filter calculation, the noise or inaccuracy indicated by the quality indicator of NIC is minimized. In this case study, most of the generated NIC values were in NIC 8 and NIC 9. So, if most of the NIC value is 8 and 9, the resulting MSE is  $1.10 \times 10^5$ . This research used other levels of NIC to simulate the aircraft's trajectory if another quality indicator value is applied. There are three different values that were used, which are 10 m, 45 m, and 30000 m. The result of the comparison between normal fluctuated noise and the 3 other constant noise can be seen in the figure 4.10, 4.11, and 4.12, and

then table 4.6 is displaying the result as normal case, case 1, case 2, and case 3 for the normal MSE, 10 m MSE, 45 m MSE and 30000 m MSE respectively.







FIGURE 4.11: 45 meter Inaccuracy

In the Kalman filter calculation, determining the aircraft's position between the interruption period is not straight forward as the Interpolation method. To obtain





FIGURE 4.12: 30000 meter Inaccuracy



FIGURE 4.13: Normal Inaccuracy

this information, the delta time in calculation needs to be changed manually. For example, in the previous case study, the average interruption period is 10 seconds, and now the FPR needs aircraft position data if the interruption time is 5 seconds. To accomplish that, the dt in Kalman filter calculation needs to change manually

| AIRCRAFT FLIGHT PATH RECONSTRUCTION | ASED ON ADS-B DATA USING |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| KALMAN FILTER                       |                          |

| Based on<br>ECEF Axis | Normal case $MSE(m^2)$ | Case 1 $MSE(m^2)$  | Case 2 $MSE(m^2)$  | Case 3 $MSE(m^2)$    |
|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Х                     | $2.55 \times 10^6$     | $5.42 \times 10^3$ | $6.18 \times 10^4$ | $2.29 \times 10^{8}$ |
| Υ                     | $2.35 \times 10^6$     | $4.52 \times 10^3$ | $5.49 \times 10^4$ | $2.12 \times 10^8$   |
| Z                     | $8.11 \times 10^4$     | $4.14 \times 10^2$ | $2.80 \times 10^3$ | $4.79 \times 10^6$   |

TABLE 4.6: MSE Comparison Between Difference Noise

to 5. The result of this method can be seen in figure 4.14.



FIGURE 4.14: Fixed 5 Second Delta Time

This research also exploring ten differents aircraft trajectory so that the average of MSE can be obtained. Each MSE of ECEF component was calculated. Then the distance between each coordinate was also calculated the result of the calculation can be seen in table 4.7. However, it is important to mention that the aircraft a4e108, a009a4, ac9ff2, a732d9, a05a01, and a65c29 have a high intermission period, which ranges from 410 to 1040 second. This large intermission period may occur because the aircraft fly in the area that did not have any ADS-B receiver coverage.

#### 4.3 Result Comparison

In this section, the MSE between each method and original ADS-B data will be compared. The comparison is aim to showing the magnitude of the MSE for each method. The comparison can be seen from table 4.8 to table 4.10

AIRCRAFT FLIGHT PATH RECONSTRUCTION BASED ON ADS-B DATA USING KALMAN FILTER

| A/C Code | $\mathbf{x}$ $(m^2)$ | y $(m^2)$            | $z (m^2)$          | Distance $(m^2)$   |
|----------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| ad8bed   | $2.55\times 10^6$    | $2.35\times10^6$     | $8.11\times10^4$   | $1.10 	imes 10^5$  |
| a4e108   | $4.14 \times 10^6$   | $2.64 \times 10^6$   | $6.71 	imes 10^5$  | $4.26 	imes 10^6$  |
| a009a4   | $9.63 \times 10^6$   | $2.51 \times 10^6$   | $3.89 	imes 10^4$  | $1.08 	imes 10^7$  |
| a01c37   | $1.66 \times 10^6$   | $2.42 \times 10^6$   | $1.06 	imes 10^4$  | $1.04 \times 10^5$ |
| ac9ff2   | $8.09 \times 10^7$   | $8.86 \times 10^6$   | $2.34 \times 10^4$ | $3.81 \times 10^8$ |
| a732d9   | $1.29 \times 10^7$   | $1.62 \times 10^6$   | $6.32 \times 10^5$ | $1.50 	imes 10^7$  |
| a05a01   | $1.34 \times 10^7$   | $2.64 \times 10^6$   | $1.90 \times 10^5$ | $1.46 \times 10^7$ |
| a65c29   | $1.12 \times 10^7$   | $3.26 \times 10^6$   | $1.08 \times 10^4$ | $1.30 	imes 10^7$  |
| a149f1   | $6.53 \times 10^6$   | $5.49 \times 10^{6}$ | $4.63 \times 10^3$ | $8.51 \times 10^6$ |
| a3a8ef   | $3.41 \times 10^6$   | $3.69 \times 10^6$   | $1.45 	imes 10^4$  | $2.75 \times 10^5$ |
| Average  | $1.46\times 10^7$    | $3.54 \times 10^6$   | $1.67\times 10^5$  | $4.47 \times 10^7$ |

TABLE 4.7: Other Aircrafts MSE

| MSE of<br>Latitude | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Kalman} \\ \text{(degree)} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Linear} \\ (\text{degree}) \end{array}$ | Spline<br>(degree)   | PCHIP<br>(degree) |
|--------------------|---|---|----------------------|-------------------|
| ADS-B              | $9.24\times10^{-5}$   | 0   | $6.12\times10^{-26}$ | 0                 |

TABLE 4.8: MSE of Latitude Result Comparison

| MSE of    | $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Kalman} \\ {\rm (degree)} \end{array}$ | Linear   | Spline               | PCHIP    |
|-----------|---|----------|----------------------|----------|
| Longitude |   | (degree) | (degree)             | (degree) |
| ADS-B     | $3.42\times 10^{-4}$  | 0        | $2.73\times10^{-25}$ | 0        |

TABLE 4.9: MSE of Longitude Result Comparison

| MSE of<br>Distance | Kalman $(m^2)$    | Linear $(m^2)$ | Spline $(m^2)$        | PCHIP $(m^2)$ |
|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| ADS-B              | $1.10\times 10^5$ | 0              | $2.74\times 10^{-18}$ | 0             |

TABLE 4.10: Distance Difference Comparison in  $m^2$ 

### <sup>36</sup>CHAPTER 5 SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, AND RECOMMENDATION

#### 5.1 Summary

In summary, FPR or flight path reconstruction by using the interpolation method and Kalman filter method have been done. In this research, both approaches were made using Python programming language. It is mainly using the *scipy* library for the interpolation and *filterpy* library for the Kalman filter calculation. The analysis that was conducted in this research is primarily based on the MSE comparison between original ADS-B data and result from both calculation methods. To visualize the result of interpolation and Kalman filter trajectory, this research mainly use the *matplotlib* library's in Python programming language.

#### 5.2 Conclusion

In conclusion, the result of interpolation result virtually did not have any error value, or in other words, the original ADS-B data is similar to the interpolation result. This occurred due to the small delta time between timestamp or small interruption period. As a result of that, the interpolation MSE of distance between coordinates were very small if compared with the original ADS-B data. The exact value of the distance MSE between aircraft trajectory is 0  $m^2$  for linear interpolation which means there is virtually no MSE because of the small maximum MSE value. However, those calculation results neglected the inaccuracy/noise of the ADS-B system. As a result, the interpolation method became inaccurate.

For the result of Kalman filter, theoretically this method result is the most accurate trajectory. With the assumption of most of the NIC Value is 8 and 9, the MSE between the original data and Kalman filter data is  $1.10 \times 10^5 m^2$ . For various inaccuracy values of 10, 45 ,and 30000 meters the MSE became  $5.42 \times 10^3 m^2$ ,  $4.52 \times 10^3 m^2$ , and  $4.14 \times 10^2 m^2$  for x, y, and z of 10 meters inaccuracy,  $6.18 \times 10^4 m^2$ ,  $5.49 \times 10^4 m^2$ , and  $2.80e+03 m^2$  for x, y, and z of 45 meters inaccuracy and  $2.29 \times 10^8 m^2$ ,  $2.12 \times 10^8 m^2$ , and  $4.79 \times 10^6 m^2$  for x, y, and z of 30000 meters inaccuracy. Then for producing aircraft trajectory between the interruption period, interpolation method was show to be much easier because when using Kalman filter the tools user needs to input the desired delta time manually.

However, it is important to remember that the result of the interpolation calculation methods has 0 or very small MSE value, it does not mean that it was accurate because, in this calculation, the inaccuracy/noise of the ADS-B system did not taken into consideration. While the MSE in Kalman filter did not consider as an error but as a trajectory deviation after noise/inaccuracy of ADS-B data is minimized. In other words, the noise/inaccuracy of the ADS-B data resulting an MSE of  $1.10 \times 10^5 m^2$  (for aircraft ad8bed).

#### 5.3 Recommendation

As for the recommendation for future research in the same field, this research recommends using an ADS-B data set that has the original quality indicator value and not using a randomly generated version. This is aimed for producing a result that is not influenced by human-generated data. Then, the next recommendation is to develop a new python library for Kalman filter calculation. So, it is not required to perform a data adjustment before calculation the Kalman filter.

# Aircraft Flight Path Reconstruction Based on ADS-B Data Using Kalman Filter

| ORIGINALITY REPORT |   |  |  |                       |   |
|--------------------|---|--|--|-----------------------|---|
| 8<br>SIMILA        | %<br>ARITY INDEX  | %<br>INTERNET SOURCES  | %<br>PUBLICATIONS  | %<br>STUDENT PAPERS   |   |
| PRIMAR             | Y SOURCES   |  |  |                       |   |
| 1                  | A.M.P. de<br>Mulder. "<br>Surveillar<br>Monitorin<br>Control C<br>Publication | e Leege, M. M. V<br>Using Automatic<br>nce-Broadcast fo<br>g", AIAA Guidan<br>Conference, 2012 | an Paassen, l<br>Dependent<br>Meteorologio<br>ce, Navigatior | M. 2<br>cal<br>n, and | % |
| 2                  | 82.94.17  | 9.196  |  | <1                    | % |
| 3                  | Submitte<br>Student Paper   | d to AUT Univers   | sity   | <1                    | % |
| 4                  | www.kalr  | nanfilter.net  |  | <1                    | % |
| 5                  | Submitte<br>Student Paper   | d to Lake Michig   | an College   | <1                    | % |
| 6                  | docplaye  | r.net  |  | <1                    | % |
| 7                  | Submitte<br>Student Paper   | d to University of   | f Melbourne  | <1                    | % |

| 8              | Submitted to Ramapo Indian Hills Regional High<br>School District<br>Student Paper   | <1%        |
|----------------|--|------------|
| 9              | garuda.ristekbrin.go.id  | <1%        |
| 10             | Submitted to Embry Riddle Aeronautical<br>University<br>Student Paper  | <1%        |
| 11             | Submitted to University of Salford<br>Student Paper  | <1%        |
| 12             | opensky-network.org  | <1%        |
|                | Ali Busyairah Syd Washington Votto Ochieng   |            |
| 13             | Wolfgang Schuster, Arnab Majumdar, and<br>Thiam Kian Chiew. "A safety assessment<br>framework for the Automatic Dependent<br>Surveillance Broadcast (ADS-B) system", Safety<br>Science, 2015.<br>Publication   | <1%        |
| <b>13</b>      | Wolfgang Schuster, Arnab Majumdar, and<br>Thiam Kian Chiew. "A safety assessment<br>framework for the Automatic Dependent<br>Surveillance Broadcast (ADS-B) system", Safety<br>Science, 2015.<br>Publication<br>Submitted to Cranfield University<br>Student Paper | <1%<br><1% |
| 13<br>14<br>15 | Wolfgang Schuster, Arnab Majumdar, and<br>Thiam Kian Chiew. "A safety assessment<br>framework for the Automatic Dependent<br>Surveillance Broadcast (ADS-B) system", Safety<br>Science, 2015.<br>Publication<br>Submitted to Cranfield University<br>Student Paper | <1%<br><1% |

| 17 | Submitted to Bogazici University<br>Student Paper  | <1%          |
|----|--|--------------|
| 18 | Zhijun Wu, Tong Shang, Anxin Guo. "Security<br>Issues in Automatic Dependent Surveillance -<br>Broadcast (ADS-B): A Survey", IEEE Access,<br>2020<br>Publication   | < <b>1</b> % |
| 19 | en.wikipedia.org   | < <b>1</b> % |
| 20 | Tengyao Li, Buhong Wang, Fute Shang, Jiwei<br>Tian, Kunrui Cao. "Online sequential attack<br>detection for ADS-B data based on hierarchical<br>temporal memory", Computers & Security, 2019<br>Publication | < <b>1</b> % |
| 21 | Submitted to Ayrshire Regional College<br>Student Paper  | < <b>1</b> % |
| 22 | www.lexology.com   | <1%          |
| 23 | Submitted to bne-catholic<br>Student Paper   | < <b>1</b> % |
| 24 | Submitted to University of New South Wales<br>Student Paper  | < <b>1</b> % |
| 25 | Reza Noval Pahlevy, Agus Dwi Prasetyo,<br>Edwar. "Nanosatellite ADS-B Receiver<br>Prototype for Commercial Aircraft Detection",  | <1%          |
2018 International Conference on Control, Electronics, Renewable Energy and Communications (ICCEREC), 2018 Publication

| 26 | Ravindra V. Jategaonkar. "Flight Vehicle<br>System Identification: A Time-Domain<br>Methodology, Second Edition", American<br>Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics (AIAA),<br>2015<br>Publication | <1%          |
|----|--|--------------|
| 27 | www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov<br>Internet Source  | <1%          |
| 28 | Tengyao Li, buhong wang, Fute Shang, Jiwei<br>Tian, Kunrui Cao. "Threat Model and<br>Construction Strategy on ADS-B Attack Data",<br>IET Information Security, 2020<br>Publication                     | < <b>1</b> % |
| 29 | Zhao, Y "Analysis on the diffusion hazards of<br>dynamic leakage of gas pipeline", Reliability<br>Engineering and System Safety, 200701<br>Publication   | <1%          |
| 30 | prr.hec.gov.pk<br>Internet Source  | < <b>1</b> % |
| 31 | Submitted to Indian Institute of Technology,<br>Kanpur<br>Student Paper  | < <b>1</b> % |

www.ronnieduisters.com

| 32 | www.ronnieduisters.com   | <1%          |
|----|--|--------------|
| 33 | halshs.archives-ouvertes.fr  | <1%          |
| 34 | Ming-Shih Huang, Ram M. Narayanan, Yan<br>Zhang, Arthur Feinberg. "Tracking of<br>Noncooperative Airborne Targets Using ADS-B<br>Signal and Radar Sensing", International<br>Journal of Aerospace Engineering, 2013<br>Publication | < <b>1</b> % |
| 35 | aeroelectric.com   | < <b>1</b> % |
| 36 | freeproject.com.ng   | <1%          |
| 37 | feast.ucsd.edu<br>Internet Source  | < <b>1</b> % |
| 38 | Shuqing Zhang, Junyan Zhang. "Theoretical<br>analytics of stereographic projection on 3D<br>objects' intersection predicate", International<br>Journal of Geographical Information Science,<br>2010<br>Publication                 | < <b>1</b> % |
| 39 | Sanat Kaul. "Chapter 96 Smallsats, Hosted  | <1%          |

Payload, Aircraft Safety, and ADS-B Navigation Services", Springer Science and Business

## Media LLC, 2020

Publication

| 40 | Tengyao Li, Buhong Wang, Fute Shang, Jiwei<br>Tian, Kunrui Cao. "Dynamic temporal ADS-B<br>data attack detection based on sHDP-HMM",<br>Computers & Security, 2020<br>Publication  | <1%          |
|----|--|--------------|
| 41 | Yue Zhang, Hairong Chu. "The angular velocity<br>estimation principal of $\alpha$ - $\beta$ - $\gamma$ filter based on high<br>sampling rate", 2011 Second International<br>Conference on Mechanic Automation and<br>Control Engineering, 2011<br>Publication      | < <b>1</b> % |
| 42 | Eman Hableel, Joonsang Baek, Young-Ji Byon,<br>Duncan S. Wong. "How to protect ADS-B:<br>Confidentiality framework for future air traffic<br>communication", 2015 IEEE Conference on<br>Computer Communications Workshops<br>(INFOCOM WKSHPS), 2015<br>Publication | <1%          |
| 43 | atmsymposium.eurocontrol.fr  | <1%          |
| 44 | Jing Wang, Yunkai Zou, Jianli Ding. "ADS-B<br>spoofing attack detection method based on<br>LSTM", EURASIP Journal on Wireless<br>Communications and Networking, 2020<br>Publication  | <1%          |

| 45 | www.elprocus.com<br>Internet Source  | <1%          |
|----|--|--------------|
| 46 | J.A. Mulder, Q.P. Chu, J.K. Sridhar, J.H.<br>Breeman, M. Laban. "Non-linear aircraft flight<br>path reconstruction review and new advances",<br>Progress in Aerospace Sciences, 1999<br>Publication                      | < <b>1</b> % |
| 47 | mafiadoc.com<br>Internet Source  | <1%          |
| 48 | Taehwan Cho. "Automatic Dependent<br>Surveillance - Broadcast for surveillance of<br>Unmanned Aircraft System", 2017 Integrated<br>Communications, Navigation and Surveillance<br>Conference (ICNS), 2017<br>Publication | < <b>1</b> % |
| 49 | Zhang Tao, , and Tang Xiaoming. "High-<br>accuracy radar calibration based on ADS-B",<br>IET International Radar Conference 2015, 2015.<br>Publication   | <1%          |
| 50 | Sandra Sendra Compte. "Deployment of<br>Efficient Wireless Sensor Nodes for Monitoring<br>in Rural, Indoor and Underwater Environments",<br>Universitat Politecnica de Valencia, 2013<br>Publication                     | < <b>1</b> % |
| 51 | Vijay Ragothaman, Fariha Baloch, Ravi Pendse.<br>"Unassisted Aircraft Landing Via Co-Operative   | <1%          |

## Data Exchange", 2006 ieee/aiaa 25TH Digital Avionics Systems Conference, 2006 Publication

52 Caterina Grillo, Francesco Vitrano. "State Estimation of a Nonlinear Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Model using an Extended Kalman Filter", 15th AIAA International Space Planes and Hypersonic Systems and Technologies Conference, 2008

<1%

<1%

53 Christine Falk, Juan Gonzalez, Jose Perez. "Using Automatic Dependent Surveillance-Broadcast Data for Monitoring Aircraft Altimetry System Error", AIAA Guidance, Navigation, and Control Conference, 2010 Publication

Akshaya U Kulkarni, Amit M Potdar, Suresh Hegde, Vishwanath P Baligar. "RADAR based Object Detector using Ultrasonic Sensor", 2019 1st International Conference on Advances in Information Technology (ICAIT), 2019 Publication

Exclude matches

Off

Exclude bibliography On

Exclude quotes

Off

## AIRCRAFT FLIGHT PATH RECONSTRUCTION BASED ON ADS-B DATA USING KALMAN FILTER

## Curriculum Vitae



|                | Basic Information  |
|----------------|--|
| Name           | Yazfan Tabah Tahta Bagaskara                                 |
| Place of Birth | Jakarta  |
| Date of Birth  | 13, 01, 1998   |
| Address        | Malidar, Jatihasih, Bekasi, Jawa Barat                       |
| Year           | Education  |
| 2015 - present | Internation University Liaison Indonesia (IULI)              |
| 2012 - 2015    | PB. Soedirman Islamic High School                            |
| 2009 - 2012    | Al-Fajar Islamic Junior High School                          |
| 2003 - 2009    | Al-Fajar Islamic Elementary School                           |
| Year           | Courses  |
| 2016           | Festo: Pneumatic and Hydraulic Training                      |
| Year           | Seminars & Workshops   |
| 2015           | 6th GAST Cnference   |
| 2017           | MRO(maintenance, repair, and operations) is Promising Career |
| 2017           | AOA(Angle of Attack) Seminar                                 |
| Year           | Work Experiences   |
| 2017           | Citilink   |
| 2018           | GMF(Garuda maintenance facility)                             |